



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 33381/2016

Between:

1.S APPA RAO, S/O S GANGA RAJU AGE 45 YEARS, OCC:
EMPLOYEE R/O D. NO 67-1-37, ASHOK NAGAR KAKINADA EAST
GODAVARI DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER, Regional Passport Office Near
P.F. Office, NAD Post, Visakhapatnam Visakhapatnam District

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or a direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent authority in not correcting the date of birth of the petitioner in passport bearing No J2331526 as 04.06.1970 in place of 18.07.1965 as illegally arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit just and proper in the circumstances of the case.

IA NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP 41251 OF 2016

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent to change the date of birth of the petitioner in passport bearing No J2331526 as 04.06.1970 M place of 18.07.1965 pending disposal

of the above writ petition and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit just and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. BS KARTIK & PRASAD ESR

Counsel for the Respondent:

1. THENEPALLI NIRANJAN SC FOR CENTRAL. GOVT
2. Pasala Ponna Rao, DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL OF INDIA

The Court made the following ORDER:

Though the matter was adjourned on 17.11.2025 and 24.11.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed on 01.12.2025, under the caption 'for dismissal'. On that day the matter was again adjourned to 03.12.2025, at request of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not interested to prosecute the case.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dated: 03.12.2025
RSD

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

71

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 33381/2016

Dated: 03.12.2025
RSD