



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 32032/2025

Between:

1.V. TULISA NAIK, S/O BIKSHALU NAIK. AGE 55 YEARS,
JETTIPALEM VILLAGE RENTACHINTALA MANDAL.PALNADU
DISTRICT, ERSTWHILE GUNTUR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND PLANNING SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
3. THE COMMISSIONER PANCHAYATRAJ DEPARTMENT, STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, NH 16 SERVICE RD, TADEPALLE, ANDHRA PRADESH-522501
4. CHEF ENGINEERING OF PANCHAYATRAJ DEPARTMENT, PUNAM ATHIRA, LABBIPET, NTRDISTRICT VIJAYAWADA, ANDHRA PRADESH 520002
5. SUPERINDEPENDENT ENGINEER PANCHAYATRAJ DEPARTMENT, NARASARAOPET, PALNADU DISTRICT.

6. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, PRI DIVISION, NARASARAOPET, PALNADU
7. THE ASSISTANT PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER, LINGAMGUNTALA, NARASARAOPET, PALNADU DISTRICT.
8. DISTRICTS PANCHAYAT OFFICER DPO, PALNADU DISTRICT
9. MANDAL PARISHAT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER MPDO, RENTACHINTALA MANDAL PALNADU DISTRICT.
10. THE ASST ENGINEER, PANCHAYATRAJ DEPARTMENT, MPDO OFFICE, RENTACHINTALA, PALNADU DISTRICT.
11. PANCHAYAT SECRETARY, THE JETTIPALEM GRAM PANCHAYAT, JETTIPALEM VILLAGE, RENTACHINTALA MANDAL PALNADU DISTRICT
12. SHOWRI KUMARI, W/O OF UNKNOWN TO THE PETITIONER PANCHAYAT SECRETARY, GRAMA PANCHAYAT OFFICE JETTIPALEM VILLAGE RENTACHINTALA MANDAL, PALNADU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ or Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action, things and deeds of Respondents in not paying the contract bill amount to the Petitioner i.e., Rs.4,62,234.00/- (Rupees Four Lakhs Sixty two Thousand Two Hundred and Thirty Four Rupees Only) in respect of the work Pucca internal roads including side drains and culverts within a Village vide work I.D. No.077050203003080004 and M. Book No. 1109-e/28/2017-2018 under Convergence funds at location from Ramavath Dhena Naik (House) to Muchavati Hanumanthu Naik (House) in Jettipalem Village of Rentachintala Mandal, which is illegal, irregular, arbitrary, unconstitutional, against to the Principles of Natural Justice and in violation of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, consequently direct the Respondents to pay the total contract bill amount of Rs.4,62,234.00/- (Rupees Four Lakhs Sixty two Thousand Two Hundred and Thirty Four Rupees Only) along with 12 percent interest for the work carried by the Petitioner and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents forthwith to pay the total contract bill amount of Rs.4,62,234.00/-(Rupees Four Lakhs Sixty two Thousand Two Hundred and Thirty Four Rupees Only) along with 12 % interest in respect of works executed by the Petitioner vide work I.D. No.077050203003080004 and M. Book No. 1109-e/28/2017-2018 pending disposal of the Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.MALAVATHU CHINNABADHYA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2.Mattegunta.Sudhir,Standing Counsel For Z.P.Ps,M.P.Ps,Gram Panchayats

3.GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING

The Court made the following:

:: ORDER ::

Heard Sri Malavathu Chinnabadhya, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri P.Rajesh Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Finance and Planning for the respondents 1 to 10 and Sri M.Sudhir, learned Standing counsel for the 11th respondent.

2. The above writ petition was filed to declare the action of the respondents in not releasing the bill amounts of Rs.4,62,234/- (Rupees Four Lakhs Sixty Two Thousand Two Hundred and Thirty Four only), payable to the petitioner in relation to the work i.e., Pucca internal roads including side drains and culverts within a village.

3. Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondents released the amount to the petitioner, and no further adjudication is required in the writ petition. The statement made by the learned counsel is recorded and made part of the record.

4. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is closed. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 11.02.2026
SNI

200

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 32032 OF 2025

Date: 11.02.2026
SNI