


(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)



FRIDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JULY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 6521 OF 2023

Between:

Smt.Golla Saradha, W/o. Golla Nehru, Aged 53 years, Occ: Housewife,
R/o.D.No.15-349, Brahmanandapuram, Tadepalli Town, Tadepalli
Municipality, Guntur, Guntur District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. Sri. Sai Prasad, Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. Mr. M.Venugopal Reddy, District Collector, Guntur District, Guntur.
3. Smt. Geethanjali Sharma, Revenue Divisional Officer, Tenali, Guntur District.
4. Mr. M.Nagi Reddy, Tahsildar & Mandal Executive Magistrate, Tadepalli Mandal, Guntur District.
5. Mr. V.Nirmal Kumar, Commissioner, Mangalagiri - Tadepalli Municipal Corporation, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.

(The Contempt Case is dismissed as not pressed against respondent no.1 as per Court order dt.18.07.2025)

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, above case through her counsel Sri Chittem Venkata Reddy, praying that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to

punish the respondents herein for willfully, disobeyed and flouted the orders in W.P.No.28591 of 2023, dated 07.11.2023;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for orders as to admission on this day and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Chittem Venkata Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issuance of Notice to the respondent Nos.2 to 5, to show cause as to why in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support thereof, the said case should not be admitted.

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission for not pressing the contempt against 1st respondent.

Permission accorded.

Accordingly, the contempt case against 1st respondent is dismissed.

Issue notice to respondent nos.2 to 5.

Post on 29.08.2025.”

You viz:

1. Mr. M.Venugopal Reddy, District Collector, Guntur District, Guntur.
2. Smt. Geethanjali Sharma, Revenue Divisional Officer, Tenali, Guntur District.
3. Mr. M.Nagi Reddy, Tahsildar & Mandal Executive Magistrate, Tadepalli Mandal, Guntur District.
4. Mr. V.Nirmal Kumar, Commissioner, Mangalagiri - Tadepalli Municipal Corporation, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.

be and hereby are directed to show cause, as to why this contempt case should not be admitted, either appearing in person or through Advocate duly

instructed on 29.08.2025, to which date the case stands posted for hearing, failing wherein the said case will be heard and determined ex-parte.

Sd/- V.DIWAKAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The District Judge, Guntur, Guntur District (By **SPECIAL MESSENGER** in duplicate along with a copy of petition and affidavit to serve on Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 and to return to this Court before 29.08.2025)
2. Mr. M.Venugopal Reddy, District Collector, Guntur District, Guntur.
3. Smt. Geethanjali Sharma, Revenue Divisional Officer, Tenali, Guntur District.
4. Mr. M.Nagi Reddy, Tahsildar & Mandal Executive Magistrate, Tadepalli Mandal, Guntur District.
5. Mr. V.Nirmal Kumar, Commissioner, Mangalagiri - Tadepalli Municipal Corporation, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.(Addressee Nos. 2 to 5 by **SPECIAL MESSENGER** - along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
6. One spare copy.

PSD

HIGH COURT

RC,J

DATE:18/07/2025

NOTE: POST ON 29.08.2025

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CC.No.6521 of 2023

