

APHC010617172025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 32114/2025**

**Between:**

1.B.THRIVIKRAM, S/O B.RADHA KRISHNA MURTHY, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, R/O D.NO 54-20/10-LC, FLAT NO.401, LORDS AVENUE APARTMENT, SRINAGAR COLONY, BHARATHI NAGAR, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE DEPARTMENT (MINES), SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI.
- 2.THE DIRECTOR OF MINES AND GEOLOGY, B-BLOCK, 5TH AND 6TH FLOORS, SRI ANJANEYA TOWERS, IBRAHIMPATNAM, VIJAYAWADA.
- 3.THE DISTRICT MINES AND GEOLOGY OFFICER, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT.
- 4.THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MINES AND GEOLOGY, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent authorities herein in not considering the application of the petitioner dated 29.07.2008, for grant of quarry lease for road metal, building stone, boulders, railway blast, gravel over an extent of 5.000 hectares in survey No.801, Paritala Village, Kanchikacherla Mandal, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh in terms of G.O.Ms.No.100, Industries and Commerce (Mines-II) Department, Dated 26.06.2025 as highly arbitrary, illegal, null and void against the principles of natural justice and violative of my fundamental rights under Articles 14 and 19(I)(g) of the Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondents to consider and process the petitioners application for grant of quarry lease, upon permitting the petitioner to pay the difference of application fee and security deposit as per the revised applicable rates and to pass such

**IA NO: 1 OF 2025**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to consider representation dated 08.09.2025 for consideration of the application dated 29.07.2008, for grant of quarry lease pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass such

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.G SAI NARAYANA RAO

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR INDUSTRIES COMMERCE

**The Court made the following:**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 32114 of 2025**

**ORDER:-**

The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking the following main prayer:

*“....to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent authorities herein in not considering the application of the petitioner dated 29.07.2008, for grant of quarry lease for road metal, building stone, boulders, railway blast, gravel over an extent of 5.000 hectares in survey No.801, Paritala Village, Kanchikacherla Mandal, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh in terms of G.O.Ms.No.100, Industries and Commerce (Mines-II) Department, Dated 26.06.2025 as highly arbitrary, illegal, null and void against the principles of natural justice and violative of my fundamental rights under Articles 14 and 19(l)(g) of the Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondents to consider and process the petitioners application for grant of quarry lease, upon permitting the petitioner to pay the difference of application fee and security deposit as per the revised applicable rates and to pass such....”*

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, Sri U.Nagendra Babu, learned counsel representing on behalf of Sri G.Sai Narayana Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that that the matter has become infructuous.

3. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, all pending applications shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**

Date: 24.03.2026

RMR

103

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 32114/2025

24.03.2026

W

RMR