



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3369]

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T. MALLIKARJUNA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 2492 OF 2016

Between:

1. RAPARTHI JAYARAM, S/O. TATABBAI, AGE: 40 YEARS, OCC:
ADVOCATE, R/O. D.NO. 53-22-34/26, CHAITANYA NAGAR,
MADDILAPALEM, VISAKHAPATNAM.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. SMT RAPARTHI PADMAJA, W/O. RAPARTHI JAYARAM, D/O.
JAJULA SATYA RAO, AGE: 37 YEARS, OCC: HOUSE WIFE, R/O.
FLAT NO. E-101, PANCHAVATHI VUDA LAYOUT, SHEELA NAGAR,
GAJUWAKA, VISAKHAPATNAM.

2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY P.P., HIGH COURT AT
HYDERABAD.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Revision filed under Section 397 of 401 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to set aside the orders dated 20-06-2016, in CrI.M.P.No.138 of 2015 in F.C.O.P.No. 850 of 2013 on the file of the passed by Family Court-Cum-V Addl. District & Session Judge at Visakhapatnam by allowing the revision case and pass such other

IA NO: 1 OF 2016(CRLRCMP 3852 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Suspend the operation of order dt. 20-06-2016 in CrI.M.P. No. 138

of 2015 in F.C.O.P. No. 850 of 2013 passed by the family court -cum- V addl. District & Sessions Judge at Visakhapatnam by allowing the revision case and pass such other order or orders may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P S P SURESH KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.G CHANDRA SHAKHAR RAO

2.SRI K. SANDEEP, ASST. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER

1. This Criminal Revision Case has been filed under Sections 397 and 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') against the order dated 20.06.2016 passed in CrI.M.P.No.138 of 2015 in F.C.O.P.No.850 of 2013 on the file of learned Family Court-cum-V Additional District and Sessions Judge at Visakhapatnam.

2. Heard and perused the record.

3. As seen from the record, this Criminal Revision Case is filed against the order dated 20.06.2016 passed in CrI.M.P.No.138 of 2015 in F.C.O.P.No.850 of 2013. The CrI.M.P.No.138 of 2015 is filed under section 125 of Cr.P.C. seeking interim maintenance of Rs.50,000/- per month, and the petition is allowed by granting an amount of Rs.6000/- per month. Against the said orders, the present revision is preferred.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the main F.C.O.P. No. 850 of 2013 was disposed of on 01.11.2021. In support of this, a copy from the eCourts website has been filed, which reflects that the said F.C.O.P. No. 850 of 2013 was disposed of on 01.11.2021.

5. Recording the said submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and in view of the disposal of main F.C.O.P.No.850 of 2013 on 01.11.2021

as the cause does not survive for adjudication and the matter would become infructuous.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is ***dismissed as infructuous.***

Interim orders granted earlier, if any, shall stand vacated and the miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE T. MALLIKARJUNA RAO

Date: 27.10.2025
MS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T. MALLIKARJUNA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.2492 of 2016

Date: 27.10.2025

MS