



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 31476/2025

Between:

1. T CH ADINARAYANA, S/O. NARASIMHA RAO, AGED 67
YEARS, OCC- CULTIVATION,
R/O, KAKUTURIVARIPAEM(VJ, TANGUTUR (M),
PRAKASAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, PANCHAYAT RAJ
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI,
GUNTUR.
2. COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE PR,
ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
3. MANDAL PARISHAD DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,
TANGUTUR MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
4. KAKUTURUVARIPALEM GRAM PANCHAYAT,
KAKUTURIVARIPALEM, TANGUTUR MANDAL,
PRAKASAM DISTRICT REP BY ITS SECRETARY.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ/Order/ Direction more particularly one in the Nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in not considering the Representation dt. 27.10.25 of the Petitioner for transferring his Pension I.D.No. 108791830 either to Ward Secretariat No. 1035049 of Sivaprasad Colony, Ongole Municipality or send to his A/c.No. 37824629280 of SBI Tanguturu including arrears as illegal, arbitrai, contraiy to law besides violation of Art. 14 85 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents to consider the Representation dt.27.10.2025 for transferring his Pension I.D.No. 108791830 either to Ward Secretariat No.1035049 of Sivaprasad Colony, Ongole Municipality or send to his A/c.No. 37824629280 of SBI Tanguturu including arrears forthwith and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the 2nd Respondent to consider the Representation dt. 27.10.25 of the Petitioner either to transfer his Pension I.D.No. 108791830 to Ward Secretariat No. 1035049 of Sivaprasad Colony, Ongole Municipality / send to his A/c.No. 37824629280 of SBI Tanguturu including arrears forthwith pending disposal of the Writ Petition and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SRINIVASULU KURRA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

The Court made the following ORDER:

Non consideration of the petitioner's representation dated 27.10.2025, requesting transfer of his pension I.D.No.108791830 either to Ward Secretariat No.1035049 of Siva Prasad Colony, Ongole Municipality, or to his A/c No.37824629280 of SBI Tanguturu, including arrears, the present writ petition is filed.

2. Heard Sri Srinivasulu Kurra, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri M. Sudheer, learned Standing Counsel for Gram Panchayat and Ms. Naga Chandrika, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayt Raj.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while reiterating the contents of the affidavit, submitted that the petitioner, having shifted from one ward to another ward, made a representation for transfer of his pension (age old pension) along with arrears. Due to non consideration of the said representation, the present writ petition has been filed. He further submitted that it would suffice for the time being if a direction is given to the authority concerned to consider the representation of the petitioner and appropriate

action is taken in accordance with law. As such, prayed to pass appropriate orders protecting the interest of the petitioner.

4. Learned Standing Counsel, on written instructions dated 06.12.2025, submitted that by virtue of the circular dated 21.11.2024, the petitioner can claim transfer/Rollback of pension; however, he is not entitled for arrears. He further submitted that it was later identified that the petitioner's ID was shown as invalid and the process is under progress and it would be considered as per the rules in vogue. As such, prayed to close the writ petition.

5. Perused the record and considered the submissions of both the learned counsel.

6. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent authorities have not considered his representation dated 27.10.2025 seeking transfer of his pension (old age pension) from one ward to another ward, in accordance with law. The contention of the learned Standing Counsel is that the respondent authorities have an intention to consider the petitioner's representation as per the Rules in vogue.

7. As the respondent authorities have an intention to follow law and consider the grievance of the petitioner, there is no

purpose in keeping the writ petition pending inviting counter affidavit and also go into merits and demerits of the matter, this Court is inclined to dispose of the writ petition by passing the following order:

“The respondent authorities are directed to consider the petitioner’s representation dated 27.10.2025 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law by affording an opportunity of being heard, as expeditiously as possible.”

8. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is ***disposed of***. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

Date: 08.12.2025
ANS

338

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 31476/2025

Date: 08.12.2025
ANS