



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1254/2025

Between:

1. CHIMATA SAMBU ALIAS SAMBAIAH, S/O LATE. DURGAIAH, AGE 70
YEARS. EX. MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT, CHIRALA.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF AP, AMARAVATHI.

2. SUB DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, CHIRALA

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. N A RAMACHANDRA MURTHY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Revision cases were filed under Sections 438 and 442 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNSS') feeling aggrieved by the order dated 22.09.2025 in CrI.M.P.No.525 of 2025 in

C.C.No.244 of 2019 on the file of the learned Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Chirala.

2. Petitioner is aged about 70 years was arraigned as Accused No.4 in C.C.No.244/2019 on the file of the learned Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Chirala. Petitioner is an Ex-member of parliament of Chirala. The alleged offences are Section 188, 353 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for brevity 'the I.P.C.,'). The regional passport office sought for a clarification from the Petitioner as he was arraigned as Accused No.4 in the instant case. The Petitioner filed a petition in Crl.M.P.No.525/2025 in C.C.No.244/2019 before the learned Magistrate seeking to grant permission to him to obtain passport.

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner contends that the Petitioner got on earlier occasion a passport, but it was expired. He filed an application before the passport authorities seeking for renewal of his passport.

4. Record reveals that as the Petitioner suppressed the information about a case pending against him with the passport authority, they have issued a notice dated 28.05.2025. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that he had submitted his explanation to that notice, and it is under consideration. Needless to mention that the Petitioner is required to workout his remedies before the passport authorities, in case the passport authorities decline to renew his passport, the Petitioner needs to challenge that proceedings. Thus, his remedy is elsewhere and not under the provisions of 'the Cr.P.C.' Had the Petitioner sought for permission to go to abroad based on the passport issued

by the authorities concerned for temporary period during the pendency of the criminal case, this Court or the learned Magistrate would have considered to grant permission depending on the merits of the case.

5. Therefore, the request made by the Petitioner is vague and unsustainable. The learned Trial Court has rightly observed the same. Considering that the case pertains to the year 2019 and has been pending for nearly six years, it is directed that the learned Trial Court shall make all endeavours to dispose of C.C.No.244/2019 pending on its file expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order.

6. With the above observations, the Criminal Revision Case is disposed of. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Dr. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Dt: 08.12.2025
VTS