

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF MARCH,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



:PRESENT:

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

AND

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

CMA NO: 751 OF 2025

Between:

Naragam Rajesh, S/o Jaganmohana Balasubrahmanyam@ Subrahmanyam Aged about 42 years. Teacher (Telugu Pandit), Gandhi Municipal High School, Gudivada. Resident of D.No.5-68, Behind Registrar Office, Bantumilli Village and Mandal, Krishna District.

...Appellant/Respondent

AND

Naragam @ Vuyyuru Divya, W/o Naragam Rajesh, D/o Vuyyuru Venkanna, aged about 32 years presently at Parents Protection, D.No.20/700, Viswabrahmana Colony, Machilipatnam, Krishna District.

... Respondent/Petitioner

Petition under Section 55 of Indian Divorce Act praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Civil Miscellaneous Appeal, the High Court may be pleased to Appeal filed aggrieved by the Decree and judgment dated 29-08- 2025 in DOP No.110 of 2024 on the file of the IX Additional District and Sessions Judge, Krishna, Machilipatnam.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Order XLI Rule 5 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim suspension decree and judgment dated 29-08-2025 in D.O.P.NO.110 of 2024 on the file of the court of IX Additional

District and Sessions Judge, Krishna at Machilipatnam, Pending disposal of CMA 751 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 13.11.2025, 17.12.2025, 19.01.2026 & 09.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Radha Krishna Lankiseti, Advocate for the Petitioner, and the Court made the following;

ORDER:

In spite of the order dated 09.02.2026, the appellant has not taken steps to serve the respondent through substituted mode, for which no application has been filed yet. One month has already expired.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant prays for time to file an appropriate application for substituted service.

3. List after four weeks.

4. The interim order granted earlier is extended till the next date of listing.

**Sd/-U.SRIDEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri. Radha Krishna Lankiseti, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two spare copies

HIGH COURT

RNT,J

&

BM,J

DATED: 09/03/2026

ORDER

LIST AFTER FOUR WEEKS

CMA.No.751 of 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

