

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MONDAY ,THE NINTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 751 OF 2025

Between:

Naragam Rajesh, S/o Jaganmohana Balasubrahmanyam@
Subrahmanyam Aged about 42 years. Teacher (Telugu Pandit), Gandhi
Municipal High School, Gudivada. Resident of D.No.5-68, Behind Registrar
Office, Bantumilli Village and Mandal, Krishna District.

...Appellant/Respondent

AND

Naragam @ Vuyyuru Divya, W/o Naragam Rajesh, D/o Vuyyuru Venkanna,
aged about 32 years presently at Parents Protection, D.No.20/700,
Viswabrahmana Colony, Machilipatnam, Krishna District.

... Respondent/Petitioner

Petition under Section 55 of Indian Divorce Act praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Civil Miscellaneous
Appeal, the High Court may be pleased to Appeal filed aggrieved by the
Decree and judgment dated 29-08- 2025 in DOP No.110 of 2024 on the file of
the IX Additional District and Sessions Judge, Krishna, Machilipatnam.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Order XLI Rule 5 of CPC praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High
Court may be pleased to grant interim suspension decree and judgment dated
29-08-2025 in D.O.P.NO.110 of 2024 on the file of the court of IX Additional

District and Sessions Judge, Krishna at Machilipatnam, Pending disposal of CMA 751 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 13.11.2025, 17.12.2025 & 19.01.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri RADHA KRISHNA LANKISETTI Advocate for the Petitioner, and the Court made the following.

ORDER:

As per the office report, the respondent is served. However, there is no appearance.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the personal notice on the respondent could not be served and was returned with the endorsement "addressee has left without instructions", and that a memo to that effect has been filed today in the Registry. However, he prays for time to file an appropriate application for substituted service.

3. Post on 09.03.2026.

4. Interim order granted earlier is extended till the next date of hearing.

**SD/- K. KASIRAO ACHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri. Radha Krishna Lankiseti, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two spare copies

HIGH COURT

RNT,J

&

MRK,J

DATED:09.02.2026

ORDER

POST ON 09.03.2026

CMA.No.751 of 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

