



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3524]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

APPEAL SUIT NO: 363/2009

Between:

1. KOTAMREDDY JANARDHAR REDDY, S/O NARAYANA REDDY WARD NO. 3, D.NO. 281 LAKSHMIPURAM, NELLORE.
2. KOTAMREDDY GIRIDHAR, S/O JANARDHAN REDDY WARD NO. 3, D.NO. 281, LAKSHMIPURAM, NELLORE.

...APPELLANT(S)

AND

1. SAMUDRALA SIVAIAH, S/O VULLAKKI SETTY BUSINESS R/O HINDUPUR, ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT
2. KEERTHI TAMARIND COMPANY REP BY ITS SOLE PROPRIETOR, S.S.MADHUSUDHAN S/O SAMUDRALA SIVAIAH BUSINESS IN JAGGERY AND TAMRIND ROOM NO. 6, ASSOCIATION BUILDINGS, M.F.ROAD, HINDUPUR, ANANTHAPUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

appeal aggrieved by the judgment and decree of the Learned Principal District Judge, Nellore passed in O.S.No. 17 of 2002 dated 18-4-2009.

Counsel for the Appellant(S):

1. O MANOHER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. P HARINATH GUPTA

JUDGMENT: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice Ravi Nath Tilhari)*

On 24.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the appellants prays for time to obtain instructions, as the matter has been listed after long time.

2. Post the matter after two (02) weeks, in the Weekly Cause List.”

2. On 16.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Sri Sravan, learned counsel represented learned counsel for the appellant through virtual mode and prays for time to get instructions pursuant to the previous order dated 24.03.2025.

2. Post the matter after three (03) weeks in the weekly cause list.”

3. The matter has been listed today. Sri M. Veerendranath, learned counsel appearing for the appellants’ counsel submits that he is not able to obtain instructions and seeks adjournment.

4. We do not find any sufficient reason to adjourn the old matter, particularly, when twice opportunity was granted on the request.

5. Learned counsel fails to argue the matter.

6. The appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

7. There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel, all pending applications shall stand closed.

RAVI NATH TILHARI, J

MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, J

82

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**

A.S. No.363 of 2009

Dt. 29.01.2026

GVK