

APHC010591372004

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI**

Bench Sr.No:-
19
[3506]



WRIT PETITION NO: 12474 of 2004

K. Purushothama Rao,

...Petitioner

Vs.

Krishna Dist Coop Cent Bank Ltd 3 Others and ...Respondent(s)
Others

Advocate for Petitioner: VENKATA SIVA NAGARAJ
BONKURI

Advocate(s) for Respondent(s): A RAJENDRA BABU, GP FOR
COOPERATION

CORAM : SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

DATE : 29th January 2026

The Court made the following Order:

Sri G. Krishan Kireeti, learned counsel representing
Sri A. Rajendra Babu, learned counsel for the respondents and
learned Assistant Government Pleader are present.

2. On 09.07.2024, there was no representation for either of
the parties. Therefore, the matter was listed under the caption for

dismissal. On 25.10.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner made submissions that they have already issued 'No Objection' to the petitioner; therefore, they have no further instructions in the matter. However, the matter was again listed on 28.10.2024 under the same caption. On 08.11.2024, it was represented that Sri B.V.S. Naga Raju, learned counsel, would enter appearance for the petitioner and sought adjournment. The counsel who has entered appearance has been seeking time continuously thereafter. The matter was listed on 12.12.2024, 21.01.2025, 28.02.2025, 13.03.2025 & 27.03.2025.

3. On all aforesaid dates, the request of the petitioner for adjournment was considered favourably by this Court. Again on 02.05.2025, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to get instructions from his client, and there was no representation on his behalf on 11.07.2025, 27.05.2025 and 22.08.2025 and once again on 19.09.2025, request was made by the petitioner for grant of time and this Court on 11.12.2025, has passed the following order:

“Despite granting multiple opportunities, Ms. Bh. Phanisri, learned counsel representing learned counsel for the petitioner, yet again requested further time on the ground of ill health of the counsel on record.

Sri G. Kireeti, learned counsel representing Sri A. Rajendra Babu, learned counsel for the respondents is present.”

List this matter next week under the caption ‘for dismissal’.”

4. On 22.01.2026, yet again adjournment was sought on the ground that learned counsel for the petitioner is unwell. Even today, Ms. Bh. Phanisri, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, sought time on the same ground.

5. In view of the events explained above, which clearly demonstrate that this Court has granted multiple opportunities and the petitioner has shown no interest in prosecuting the matter, this Court is not inclined to grant any further time and the Writ Petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution accordingly.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

Date: 29.01.2026

ANS

57

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA GUNARANJAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 12474 of 2004

Date: 29.01.2026

ANS