


(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

WRIT PETITION NO: 30688 OF 2025



Between:

Dhaniyala Hari Krishna, S/o Raghaviah, aged about 53 years, R/o
House No 15-21-32/13 & 14/201, Balaji Nagar, Kukatpalli, Hyderabad.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Prl. Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati.
2. The District Collector, Guntur, Guntur District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Narasaraopet, Guntur District.
4. The Tahsildar, Narasaraopet Mandal, Narasaraopet, Guntur District.
5. Smt. Reddybathina Tirupathamma, W/o Srinivasa Rao, aged about 63 years, R/o Door No. 7-11-114, 2nd Lane, Kakumanuvarithota Donka Road, Guntur District.
6. Reddybathina Sai Abilash, S/o Srinivasa Rao, aged about 33 years, R/o Door No. 7-11-114, 2nd Lane, Kakumanuvarithota Donka Road, Guntur District.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate SRI V.S. MALLIKHARJUNA KULADEEP THOKA, presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ, order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring

the action of the proceedings issued by the 3rd respondent in Rc. No. 1953/2025-G dt. 04.09.2025 directing the 4th respondent to conduct fresh enquiry under ROR Act is illegal, arbitrary, without considering the pendency of Revision before 2nd respondent and also suit in OS No 61/2021 on the file of Senior Civil Judge, Narasaraopet and consequently set aside proceedings of 3rd respondent forthwith;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri V S MALLIKHARJUNA KULADEEP THOKA, Advocate for the Petitioner, learned GP FOR REVENUE for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 directed issue of notice to the Respondent Nos.5&6 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. Smt. Reddybathina Tirupathamma, W/o Srinivasa Rao, aged about 63 years, R/o Door No. 7-11-114, 2nd Lane, Kakumanuvarithota Donka Road, Guntur District.
2. Reddybathina Sai Abilash, S/o Srinivasa Rao, aged about 33 years, R/o Door No. 7-11-114, 2nd Lane, Kakumanuvarithota Donka Road, Guntur District.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate, as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted, within four (4) weeks .

The Court made the following ORDER:

"Issue notice to respondent Nos.5 & 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent Nos.5 and 6 through RPAD and file proof of the service.

List the matter after four (04) weeks.

Meanwhile, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue is directed to get written instructions."

//TRUE COPY//

For

SD/- M.PRABHAKARA RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Smt. Reddybathina Tirupathamma, W/o Srinivasa Rao, aged about 63 years, R/o Door No. 7-11-114, 2nd Lane, Kakumanuvarithota Donka Road, Guntur District.
2. Reddybathina Sai Abilash, S/o Srinivasa Rao, aged about 33 years, R/o Door No. 7-11-114, 2nd Lane, Kakumanuvarithota Donka Road, Guntur District. (1&2 by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
3. One CC to SRI. V S MALLIKHARJUNA KULADEEP
THOKA Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh.
[OUT]
5. One spare copy

KJ

HIGH COURT

DR,J

DATED:06/11/2025

LIST THE MATTER AFTER FOUR (04) WEEKS

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.30688 of 2025

