

APHC010210292009



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3587]

TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI**

**FAMILY COURT APPEAL (FCA) NO: 93/2009**

**Between:**

1.M.SAMBAIAH, S/O.GURAVAIAH, HINDU EMPLOYEE R/O.D.NO.20-2-501/C, MARUTHI NAGAR, KORLAGUNTA, TIRUPATI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

**...APPELLANT**

**AND**

1.JAGADEESWARI, W/O.SIDDULAIAH, HINDU HOUSEWIFE R/O.D.NO.5-5-336, 1ST FLOOR, RESERVOIR ROAD, TIRUPATI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

2.GEETHA, D/O.SIDDULAIAH, HINDU STUDENT R/O.D.NO.5-5-336, 1ST FLOOR, RESERVOIR ROAD, TIRUPATI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Appellant:**

1.MASTHAN NAIDU CHERUKURI

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GOPALA KRISHNA KALANIDHI

**FAMILY COURT APPEAL (FCA) NO: 89/2008**

**Between:**

1.JAGADEESHWARI, W/O. SIDDULIAH HOUSEWIFE R/O.D.NO.5-5-336  
FIRST FLOOR, RESERVOIR ROAD,

**...APPELLANT**

**AND**

1.M SAMBAIAH ANR, S/O. GURAVIAH EMPLOYEE R/O.D.NO.20-2-  
501/C, MARUTHINAGAR KORLAGUNTA TIRUPATHI

2.GEETHA, D/O.SIDDULIAH STUDENT R/O.D.NO.5-5-336, FIRST  
FLOOR, RESERVOIR ROAD, TIRUPATHI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Appellant:**

1.GOPALA KRISHNA KALANIDHI

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.MASTHAN NAIDU CHERUKURI

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH**

**&**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI**

**FAMILY COURT APPEAL (FCA) NOs: 89/2008 & 93/2009**

**COMMON JUDGMENT:** *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice D Ramesh)*

Today, when the matter is called, it was brought to the notice of this Court that the learned counsel for the appellant in FCA.No.89 of 2008 is no more, and the notice sent to the appellant was returned with the endorsement "no such addressee in the address" as seen from the orders dated 19.11.2025.

2. Further, the learned counsel for the appellant in FCA.No.93 of 2009 submitted that the appellant was no more and that his legal representatives are not responding.

3. In view of the above, upon perusal of the docket orders in FCA.No.89 of 2008 and considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the appellant in FCA.No.93 of 2009, both the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE D RAMESH**

---

**JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI**

**Date: 16.06.2026**

**Dvs**

127

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

&

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

**FAMILY COURT APPEAL (FCA) NOS: 89/2008 & 93/2009**

Date: 16.06.2026

*Dvs*