



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

**AT AMARAVATI**

**(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE 30<sup>th</sup> DAY OF JULY 2026**

**PRESENT**

**HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA**

**IA NO.1 OF 2026**

**IN**

**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3239/2025**



**Between:**

Dr S.Venkateswar, IAS, The District Collector, (presently Tirupati District, Tirupati), Pin- 517503 Previously Chittoor District, Chittoor.

**...Petitioner/Respondent**

**AND**

B.S.Pramodh, S/o.B.Srinivasulu Naidu, aged about 33 years, Represented by his Regd. GPA holder and Father B.Srinivasulu Naidu, S/o. B.Siddaiah Naidu, aged about 66 years, R/o. Flat No 301, Sai Srinivasa YVSR Enclave, Sai Nagar, Tirupati, Tirupati District.

**...Respondent/Petitioner**

Petition under Rule 18 of Contempt of Court Rules, R/w 151 of CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to recall the Bailable Warrants issued against the petitioner on 22.07.2026 in C.C.No.3239 of 2025 in WP No. 16771 of 2025, pending disposal of CC No.3239 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the orders of the High Court dated 06.11.2025 & 22.07.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Smt. Sushma Yaganti, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Sri P.Sree Ramulu Naidu, Advocate for the Respondent, the Court made the following

**ORDER:**

“Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent.

It transpires from the record that on 25.06.2026, this Court had issued a direction to the respondent to comply with the orders of this Court on or before 22.07.2026, failing which, he shall appear in person before this court to explain the reasons for non compliance of the order of this Court and list this matter to 22.07.2026. When this matter was taken up on 22.07.2026, inspite of specific direction of this Court on 25.06.2026, the respondent had failed to comply the orders of this Court, hence, this Court had directed the registry issue Bailable Warrant to respondent and list this matter to 20.08.2026. ✓

Today, this matter is taken up by way of ‘Lunch Motion’.

Learned counsel for the Respondent submits that the respondent has complied with the Order of this Court by passing Speaking Order dated 24.07.2026 on the representation submitted by the petitioner with some delay. He further submits that besides passing of the Speaking Order, the respondent is also directed the Revenue Divisional Officer, Srikalahasti to pay the amount of Rs.4,31,885/- to the petitioner, which is entitled by the petitioner and the same was also complied with by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Srikalahasti. ✓

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to get instructions from the petitioner about the compliance. ✓

In view of the above discussion, this Court opines that the respondent had fully complied with the orders of this Court passed in W.P.No.16771 of 2025 dated 09.07.2025.

Accordingly, this Interlocutory Application is allowed and the Bailable Warrant issued against the respondent is recalled and presence of the Respondent hereby dispensed with until further orders."

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-M.RAMESH BABU  
JOINT REGISTRAR

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Registrar (**Judicial**), High Court of A.P., Amaravati.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Tirupati, Tirupati District. (by **SPEED POST**)
3. Dr. S.Venkateswar, IAS, The District Collector, (presently Tirupati District, Tirupati), Pin- 517503 Previously Chittoor District, Chittoor.(by **SPEED POST**)
4. The Section Officer, O.S.Section, High Court of A.P., Amaravati.
5. One CC to Sri P.Sree Ramulu Naidu, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to Smt. Sushma Yaganti, Advocate [OPUC]
7. One spare copy.

PSD

**HIGH COURT**

**NV,J**

**DATE:30/07/2026**

**NOTE: LIST ON 03.08.2026**

**ORDER**

**IA NO.1 OF 2026**

**IN**

**CC NO. 3239 OF 2025**

**RECALL BAILABLE WARRANT**

