

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: M.A.C.M.A.No.482 of 2012

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	08.05.2026	<u>AHHS, J</u> <u>I.A. Nos.1 and 2 of 2025</u> I.A. No.1 of 2025 is filed seeking condonation of delay of 4826 days in filing the application to prosecute the matter against respondent No.1. I.A. No.2 of 2025 is filed seeking restoration of the main M.A.C.M.A., which was dismissed against respondent No.1 on 12.09.2011. The main appeal was filed in the year 2007. Subsequently, the appeal stood dismissed against respondent No.1 on 12.09.2011. Respondent No.2– Insurance Company entered appearance and is represented by Sri Vutla Srinivasulu, learned counsel. Respondent No.3 is the owner of the offending vehicle, on whom liability was fastened. As per the memo of proof of service filed by the learned counsel for the appellant, notices dispatched to respondent Nos.1 and 3 were delivered to the correct addresses. The said submission of the learned counsel for	

the petitioner is supported by the track report filed along with the proof of service memo.

Therefore, upon perusal of the proof of service memo along with the track report, this Court is satisfied that service of notice is sufficient.

The issue now under consideration is restoration of the appeal as against respondent No.1 alone. Though the delay of 4826 days in filing the application to prosecute respondent No.1 in the main M.A.C.M.A. is no doubt huge but, the reasons assigned by the learned counsel for the petitioner, namely, delay caused due to transmission of records from the composite High Court, restoration and verification of records, etc., appear to be convincing.

Accordingly, I.A. No.1 of 2025 is allowed, and the delay of 4826 days in filing the application to prosecute respondent No.1 is hereby condoned.

Further, upon considering the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of I.A. No.2 of 2025, the application is allowed, and the M.A.C.M.A. as against respondent No.1 is hereby restored.

AHHS, J

		<u>M.A.C.M.A. No.482 of 2012</u> The learned counsel for the appellant is permitted to take out fresh personal notice to respondent No.1 through Registered Post with Acknowledgment Due (RPAD) and file proof of service before the Registry by the next date of hearing. List the matter on 19.06.2026. AHHS, J JLV	
--	--	---	--