

APHC010568772015



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 17230 OF 2015

Between:

1. MUDUNURU SEETHA DEVI,, W/O APPALA RAJU, AGED ABOUT 70
YEAR, R/AT LINGARAJUPALEM, S. RAYAVARAM MANDAL,
NARSIPATNAM, VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE UNION OF INDIA, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
MINISTRY OF POWER, NEW DELHI.

2. EASTER POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF AP LTD, REP., BY
ITS DIRECTOR, P & T COLONY, SEETHAMADHARA,
VISAKHAPATNAM.

3. POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED, REP., BY ITS
ENGINEER, SECTOR-10, STEEL PLANT, AREA, VISAKHAPATNAM.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. A S C BOSE

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. M UMA DEVI (CENTRAL GOVT COUNSEL)

2. SARANG AFZULPURKAR

3.M RAVINDRA (SC FOR APEPDCL)

4.METTA CHENDRA SEKHAR RAO

5.N SIVA REDDY(SC FOR APTRANSCO)

The Court made the following ORAL ORDER:

None for the Writ Petitioner. Heard Smt. M. Uma Devi, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent No.1 and Sri V.V.Satish, Ld. Standing Counsel for the APEPDCL.

2. Since this Writ Petition is of the year 2015, on 31.10.2025, this Court had directed the Ld. Counsel for the Writ Petitioner to obtain complete instructions as regards the existence of cause of action. At that point of time, this Court had also indicated that if no instructions are forthcoming from the Writ Petitioner, this Court would consider dismissing the Writ Petition for non-prosecution.

3. The prayer sought in the present Writ Petition would indicate the Petitioner is questioning the action of the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in trying to erect electrical towers in the middle of the Petitioner's land. The docket indicates that this Court has not granted any interim protection to the Writ Petitioner. Therefore, this Court is of the view that the cause of action may not survive.

4. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the Writ Petitioner.

5. In this view of the matter, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

6. The Registry is directed to preserve the record of this Writ Petition for a period of ten (10) weeks. If the Writ Petitioner is of the view that the cause of action still survives, the Writ Petitioner is given liberty to file an Application for Restoration within eight (08) weeks from the date of uploading of this Order on the web-site of this Court. If no attempt is made by the Writ

Petitioner within eight (08) weeks as stated above, the Registry is at liberty to destroy the Writ Petition record as per the Rules after ten (10) weeks.

7. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt:07.11.2025
MNR

95

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No.17230 OF 2015

Dt.07.11.2025

Mnr