



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 29366/2025

Between:

1. NANNAPANENI AKKINEEDU CHOWDARY,, S/O. NANNAPANENI PRASAD AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O. 9-8-51, KONDAMUDI ROAD, NINDUBROLU, NANNAPANENI ESTATES, PONNURTOWN, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS. SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.

2. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR, TOWN POLICE STATION, PONNUR, ANDHRA PRADESH

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. D VIGNESHWAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**WRIT PETITION NO: 29366 of 2025****ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...pleased to issue a writ or writs or order or orders one more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the Respondent No.2 in calling the petitioner to their police station at the behest of some highly influential persons and making the petitioner sit in the police station from morning to night without even without there being any FIR against the petitioner as arbitrary, illegal, contrary to the well established legal principles, apart from being violative of the fundamental and Constitutional rights guaranteed to the petitioner under Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No.2 not to interfere with the petitioner personal life and liberty by calling the petitioner to the police station...”

2. Heard Sri D.Vigeshwar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions would submit that basing on the complaint of one G.Nageswara Reddy, dated 17.10.2025, the petitioner was called to the police station for enquiry and no crime was registered against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that recording the same, the petition may be disposed of.

5. Recording the above said submission, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed to follow due process of law, in case any crime is registered. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 24.10.2025.
SAB

76

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.29366 of 2025

Dated.24.10.2025

SAB