



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
IA Nos.2 and 3**

In/and

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 10961/2025

Between:

- 1.VADITHE RAJU (RAJEEV) NAIK, S/O.SRINIVAS NAIK, AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS, OCC. CULTIVATION, R/O. DHARMAPURI COLONY,VINUKONDA TOWN, PALNADU DISTRICT.
- 2.. VADITHE CHINA SOMA @ SAI SOMU NAIK,, S/O.SRINIVAS NAIK, AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS,OCC. DOCTOR, R/O. DHARMAPURI COLONY, VINUKONDA TOWN, PALNADU DISTRICT.
- 3.VADITHE PEDDA SOMA @ SOMU NAIK, , S/O. SRINIVAS NAIK, AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,OCC. STAF NURSE,GGH, GUNTUR, R/O. DOOR NO.29-25-81/2,KALYANA PURI COLONY, VINUKONDA TOWN, PALNADU DISTRICT.
- 4.VASU @ RAMAVATHU VASU NAIK,, S/O.SITYA NAIK, AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,OCC. CULTIVATION, R/O DHARMAPURI COLONY,VINUKONDA TOWN, PALNADU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF A.P.AMARAVATHI.
- 2.SHAIK NAGOOR SHAREEF, S/O. PEDDA BUJJI, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, R/O. KALYANA PURI COLONY, VINUKONDA TOWN, PALNADU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT(S):

Petition under Section 437/438/439/482 of Cr.P.C and 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court pleased to call for the records pertaining to and in connection with FIR (Crime). No. 211 of 2025 on the file of the Vinukonda Police Station Palnadu District and quash the same and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused(S):

- 1.AUDIL AHMED MAHAMMAD

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant(S):

- 1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

COMMON ORDER:

The instant Criminal Petition is filed by the Petitioners under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., 2023 for the following relief:

" ... to call for the records pertaining to and in connection with FIR (Crime). No. 211 of 2025 on the file of the Vinukonda Police Station Palnadu District and quash the same and pass ..."

2. IA.No.2 of 2025 is filed by the Petitioner/*defacto* Complainant seeking permission to compromise the matter with the respondents/A.1 to A.4 by quashing the Proceedings against them in Crime No.211 of 2025 on the file of Vinukonda Police Station, Palnadu District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2) and 118(1) read with 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

3. IA No.3 of 2025 is filed under Section 359(2) read with 528 BNSS, 2023 requesting to record compromise in Crime No.211 of 2025 on the file of Vinukonda Police Station, Palnadu District.

4. The 2nd respondent in the Criminal Petition, who is the *Defacto* Complainant filed a complaint against the petitioners/A.1 to A.4 alleging that on the date of offence, while the respondent No.2/ *de facto* complainant and his brother were going on bike, when reached near the houses of the accused, they objected the motor cycle, beat the complainant with stick on left hand, all the accused beat him with hands and legs. Respondent No.2/ *de facto* complainant gave a complaint to the police, which is registered as a case, as stated above. This criminal petition is filed by the Petitioners/Accused seeking quashment of the proceedings against them.

5. During pendency of this Criminal Petition, today, the parties moved lunch motion and the parties made a request before this Court that they intend to compromise the matter and accordingly, IA Nos.2 and 3 were filed.

6. The parties are identified by their respective counsels on record. Copies of the Aadhar cards of the parties attested by their respective counsels are filed for proper identification. Ms. K. Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Asst. Public Prosecutor representing the State is also in attendance and submitted that the court may pass appropriate orders.

7. The parties filed compromise petition as stated supra stating that the matter is compromised between them and also filed a Joint Memo, which reveals that the parties are residents of same village and at the intervention of elders, the parties decided to settle the issue amicably and the respondent No.2 agreed to withdraw the case against the accused in the above Crime.

8. The 2nd respondent submitted that he has no dispute with the accused and there is no coercion and undue influence for settling the issue with the accused. It is only to keep harmony between them, the issue is resolved amicably and he has no objection to record compromise in this matter.

9. Considering the submissions, in the interests of justice, I.A.Nos.2 and 3 are allowed. Consequently, this Criminal Petition is allowed and the Proceedings in Crime No.211 of 2025 on the file of Vinukonda Police Station, Palnadu District against the Petitioners/Accused are hereby quashed.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

DR.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

*Mjl/**

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Criminal Petition No. 10961 of 2025

10.12.2025

*Mjl /**