



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 28917/2025

Between:

K Naveen Kumar

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.K V RAGHU VEER

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.SURAGANI VIJAYA KUMAR

2.GP FOR SERVICES II

The Court made the following ORDER:

Rule nisi. Call for records.

Post this matter along with W.P.No.28023 of 2025.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J

I.A.No.1 of 2025:-

Impugning the proceedings issued by the Chief Executive Officer of respondent No.6 *vide* Rc.No.Est./563/Suspension/2025-26, dated 12.09.2025

(Ex.P1), whereby suspending the petitioner, the above writ petition has been filed.

Heard Ms. S. Tejaswi, learned counsel representing Sri K.V. Raghu Veer, learned counsel for the petitioner; learned Government Pleader for Cooperation appearing for respondents 1 to 5 and Sri Vijay Kumar, learned counsel appearing for respondents 6 & 7.

At the hearing, learned counsel appearing on either side, brought to the notice of this Court that an interim order was granted in an identical writ petition filed by another employee i.e. W.P.No.28023 of 2025, whereby the learned Single Judge of this Court suspended the order of suspension of that employee on the basis of a report under Section 51 of Andhra Pradesh Cooperative Societies Act, 1964 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner in the present writ petition also stands on the same footing.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents did not refute the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the proceedings issued by the Chief Executive Officer of respondent No.6 *vide* Rc.No.Est./563/Suspension/2025-26, dated 12.09.2025 (Ex.P1), are hereby suspended. However, it is open to the respondent bank to independently assess the allegations against the petitioner dehors the report under Section 51 of the Act and to initiate disciplinary proceedings in accordance with its staff regulations, independent of outcome of W.P.No.26403 of 2025.

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J

Dated: 23.10.2025
TVN