



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 13367/2011

Between:

1. KOMMU LINGANNA, S/O. YESURATNAM, BUSINESS, D.NO. 1-196D,
S.C.COLONY, GADIVEMULA VILLAGE & MANDAL, KURNOOL
DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD 3 OTHERS, REP.
BY THE DIRECTOR (MARKETING), CURRIMVSOY ROAD, T.B.NO.
688, BALLARD ESTATE, MUMBAI.

2. THE BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD, REP. BY THE
REGIONAL MANAGER LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GAS (LPG),
RANGANATHAN GARDENS, OFFICE AT 11TH MAIN ROAD,
ANNANAGAR, CHENNAI-400 060.

3. THE BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD, REP. BY THE
TERRITORY MANAGER LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GAS (LPG) LPG
BOTTLING PLANT & TERRITORY OFFICE, KM STONE NO. 217/6,
N.H.7, NEAR LAXMIPURAM VILLAGE, KURNOOL DISTRICT.

4. KADIRIKOTA RAVI KIRAN, S/O. K.C.RAJANNA, H.NO. 12-204,
YEMMIGANURU TOWN, KURNOOL DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be
pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the

nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in conducting the Draw on 31-08-2010 for selection of LPG Distributors under Rajiv Gandhi Grameen LPG Vitrak (RGGLV) Scheme in respect of Gadivemula Village Location, Kurnool District with ineligible candidate i.e. the 4th respondent as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Articles 14, 16, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and Set-aside the draw conducted on 31-08-2011 and consequently direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner as LPG Distributor in respect of Gadivemula Village Location, Kurnool District under Rajiv Gandhi Grameen LPG Vitrak (RGGLV) Scheme and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2011(WPMP 16217 OF 2011)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of the draw conducted on 31-8-2010 for selection of LPG Distributors under Rajiv Gandhi Grameen LPG Vitrak (RGGLV) Scheme in respect of Gadivemula Village location, Kurnool District, pending disposal of the Writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. GANGISETTY RAJESWARA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. THOOM SRINIVAS

2. K RATHANGA PANI REDDY

3. V V SATISH

The Court made the following ORDER:

Though the matter was adjourned on 12.11.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed on 26.11.2025. Even on that day there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was listed today under the caption "for dismissal".

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the learned counsel for the petitioner is not interested to prosecute the case.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dated: 03.12.2025
RSD

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

61

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 13367/2011

Dated: 03.12.2025
RSD