



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3329]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3041/2025

Between:

Rami Reddy Subba Rami Reddy Educational Trust

...PETITIONER

AND

Himanshu Shukla Ias and Others

...CONTEMNOR(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. GAJJALA MALLIKARJUNA REDDY

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. RAMA RAO KOCHIRI

2. Mattegunta.Sudhir, Standing Counsel For Z.P.Ps, M.P.Ps, Gram
Panchayats

The Court made the following:

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3041/2025

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent(s).

On perusal of the order dated 26.09.2025 passed by this Court, it is observed that, this Court specifically directed respondent No.6 to take steps as per Section 45 and 93 of Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 to address the claim/grievance of the petitioner. Even though the order of this Court is specifically directed respondent No.6 who is competent authority under the provisions of Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 for redressal of the grievance of the petitioner, whereas, inadvertently the petitioner has impleaded all the party-respondents in the writ petition as party respondents/accused in this contempt case. Having found the petitioner impleaded all the respondents in Writ Petition as accused in this Contempt Case without narrating or alleging any specific act or omission on the part of the respondent in violation of the orders of this Court, which is contrary to the specific direction of this Court dated 26.09.2025.

In view of the facts and circumstances, this court inclined to pass suo-moto order discharging respondent Nos.1 to 4 and 6 from the contempt case.

Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and 6 are hereby discharged from the contempt case.

List the matter on 23.03.2026.

26.02.2026
TPS

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J