

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.28795 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	17.10.2025	<u>HN, J</u> Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has claim over the subject property, over which the 2nd respondent has granted permission for construction in favour of respondent Nos.4 and 5. It is submitted that the petitioner has filed a suit for specific performance against respondent Nos.6 to 8. It is further submitted that the learned Principal District Judge, Visakhapatnam, had granted an interim direction restraining the 2nd respondent from granting any permission in favour of any third party as during pendency of the suit, respondent Nos.6 to 8 had executed a sale deed in favour of respondent Nos.4 and 5. It is submitted that the sale deed was executed during the interim period and the injunction, which was granted earlier in the suit could not be extended. However, the suit was pending for consideration on merits.	Contd.,

SL. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		The 2nd respondent on the strength of the said sale deed has now issued permission in favour of respondent Nos.4 and 5 by order dated 22.04.2025. It is submitted that the said permission could not have been granted and there was a specific directions from the learned Principal District Judge, Visakhapatnam, vide order dated 06.02.2025. There was a further direction from the Court to the 3rd respondent to maintain custody of the said property in the District Registrar. The learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent Nos.4 to 8 by registered post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service in the Registry. In the meanwhile, there shall be a direction to the respondents not to proceed further construction. Post the matter on 10.11.2025. <u>HN, J</u> SR	

