

APHC010557292012



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3524]

FRIDAY,THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

APPEAL SUIT NO: 720/2012

Between:

1.P. CHANDRAYUDU,, S/O. CHANDRAYUDU, CONTRACTOR, R/O.
1/19, NEAR R.T.C. BUS STAND, PULIVENDLA, CUDDAPAH
DISTRICT.

...APPELLANT

AND

- 1.THE SECRETARY OF GOVERNMENT, TRANSPORT, ROADS AND
BUILDINGS DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, HYDERABAD.
- 2.THE ENGINEERINCHIEF, ROADS AND BUILDINGS DEPARTMENT,
ERRUMMANZIL, HYDERABAD.
- 3.THE CHIEF ENGINEER BUILDINGS, ROADS AND BUILDINGS,
ERRUMMANZIL, HYDERABAD.
- 4.THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, ROADS AND BUILDINGS,
DEPARTMENT, KADAPA CIRCLE, KADAPA
- 5.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROADS AND BUILDINGS,
DEPARTMENT, PRODDATUR, KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 6.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROADS AND BUILDINGS,
DEPARTMENT, PULIVENDULA, KADAPA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

to allow the appeal and order for passing a decree as prayed for in the interest of justice

IA NO: 1 OF 2012(ASMP 1843 OF 2012

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to condone the delay of 166 days in representing the above ASSR No. 17439 of 2011 and pass

Counsel for the Appellant:

1.VELAGANI NARASIMHULU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.THE ADVOCATE GENERAL

The Court made the following:

JUDGMENT:--(per Hon'ble Sri Justice Ravi Nath Tilhari)

The appellant is the plaintiff, who has filed O.S.No.51 of 2007 on the file of the I Additional District Judge, Kadapa, claiming a sum of Rs.28,86,932/- jointly and severally from the respondents/defendants as damages, which came to be dismissed on 11.08.2011. Challenging the order dated 11.08.2011, the present appeal has been filed.

2. On 03.04.2025, the following order was passed :

"At the request of the appellant's counsel to enable him to obtain latest instructions as also to complete his bundle, the matter is adjourned

List the matter after three weeks in the weekly list."

3. On 07.10.2025, the following order was passed :

"At request of learned counsel for the appellant, post after four (04) weeks in the weekly cause-list."

4. Today also, there is no representation for the appellant, even in the revised call.

5. The Appeal Suit is dismissed for want of prosecution.

No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

RAVI NATH TILHARI, J

MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM, J

Date : 30.01.2026.
RPD.

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM**

(DISMISSED FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION)

APPEAL SUIT NO: 720 OF 2012

Date: 30.01.2026

RPD.