



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

**TUESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 28966/2023

Between:

1. ALLU RAMI REDDY, S/O. LINGA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
OCC. BUSINESS, R/O. H. NO. 3-194, KOTHA BAZAR,
THUMMALACHERUVU (V), PIDUGURALLA (M), PALNADU
DISTRICT, A.P. - 522 437.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,
VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, A.P.

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NARASARAOPET, PALNADU
DISTRICT, A.P.

3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, GURAZALA,
PALNADU DISTRICT, A.P.

4. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PIDUGURALLA POLICE STATION,
PIDUGURALLA, PALNADU DISTRICT, A.P.

5. ALLU SAMBASIVA REDDY, , S/O. SATYANARAYANA REDDY, AGED
ABOUT 50 YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, RIO. H. NO. 6-257/A, ALLUVARI
BAZAR, THUMMALACHERUVU (V), PIDUGURALLA (M), PALNADU
DISTRICT, A.P. 522 437.

6. PASAM HANIMI REDDY, , S/O. VENKATA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 55
YEARS, OCC. BUSINESS, RIO. D. NO. 15-347/3, SRIRAMPURAM,
GURAZALA VILLAGE AND MANDAL, PALNADU DISTRICT,

ANDHRA PRADESH - 522415

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents especially Respondents No. 3 and 4 in calling and harassing the petitioner to the police station without there being any crime registered against the petitioner and forcing the petitioner to settle the civil disputes pending between the Petitioner and Respondents No. 5 and 6 without settling the accounts of the petitioner and further pressurizing the petitioner to act as per the terms and conditions of the Respondents No. 5 and 6 as being illegal, arbitrary, unfair, exercise of power beyond the jurisdiction apart from violation of Principles of Natural Justice and violation of Fundamental rights enshrined under Article 14, 19, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents No. 3 and 4 not to harass or call the petitioner to the police station without there being any crime registered against the petitioner and not to interfere in the civil disputes pending between the Petitioner and unofficial respondents and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents No. 3 & 4 not to harass or call the petitioner to the police station without there being any crime registered against the petitioner and not to interfere in the civil disputes pending between the Petitioner and unofficial respondents and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V NITESH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 28966 of 2023

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order ordirection, more particularly a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents especially Respondents No. 3 and 4 in calling and harassing the petitioner to the police station without there being any crime registered against the petitioner and forcing the petitioner to settle the civil disputes pending between the Petitioner and Respondents No. 5 and 6 without settling the accounts of the petitioner and further pressurizing the petitioner to act as per the terms and conditions of the Respondents No. 5 and 6 as being illegal, arbitrary, unfair, exercise of power beyond the jurisdiction apart from violation of Principles of Natural Justice and violation of Fundamental rights enshrined under Article 14, 19, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents No. 3 and 4 not to harass or call the petitioner to the police station without there being any crime registered against the petitioner and not to interfere in the civil disputes pending between the Petitioner and unofficial respondents and pass such....”

2. Heard Sri Ch. Karthik, learned counsel representing Sri V. Nitesh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri V. Farook, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the police are calling the petitioner to the police station, in the absence of any crime registered and interfering with the civil disputes in between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents. Learned counsel would further submit that a direction may be given to the police.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on oral instructions would submit that the police neither called the petitioner to police station nor interfered with the civil disputes in between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that recording the same, the petition may be disposed of.

6. Recording the above said submissions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed not to call the petitioner to the police station since no crime has been registered against the petitioner and not to interfere in the civil disputes between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 09.12.2025.
TVN

191

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.28966 of 2023

Dated.09.12.2025

TVN