



(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)
THURSDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE B S BHANUMATHI
WRIT PETITION NO: 28693 OF 2023

Between:

Dasari Baji, S/o Venkateswarlu, aged about 25 years, N/o Ambadipudi Village, Atchampet Mandal, Guntur District Presently residing at 4th Lane, Tarakarama Nagar, S.V.N. Colony, Guntur.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by the Principal Secretary, Department of Home affairs, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Director General of Police, Police Head Quarters, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Vijayawada, Krishna District,
4. The Station House Officer, , Kanchikacherla Police Station, Kanchikacherla, Krishna District
5. The Sub Inspector of Police, Kanchikacherla Police Station, Kanchikacherla, Krishna District
6. Dasari Suguna, W/o Dasari Flaji, aged about 23 years, R/o Pedda Bazaar, Arundhathi Colony, Kanchikacherla, Krishna District.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate SRI VENKATA DURGA RAO ANANTHA presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring that the Respondents No. 4 and 5 cannot interfere in any civil disputes/ family disputes between the petitioner and the 6th Respondent and cannot take on themselves the function of a civil court/family court at the instance of Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and their staff and threatening the petitioner to hand over his son to the 6th Respondent being illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India apart from being violative of Principles of Natural Justice;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri VENKATA DURGA RAO ANANTHA Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 5, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.6 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Dasari Suguna, W/o Dasari Flaji, aged about 23 years, Rio Pedda Bazaar, Arundhathi Colony, Kanchikacherla, Krishna District.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate on or before 16.11.2023 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following Order:

"Issue notice to the 6th respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the 6th respondent by RPAD and file proof of service.

Post on 16.11.2023.

Meanwhile, learned Government Pleader for Home shall obtain instructions."

**Sd/- SK. MD. RAFI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

- 1. Dasari Suguna, W/o Dasari Flaji, aged about 23 years, R/o Pedda Bazaar, Arundhathi Colony, Kanchikacherla, Krishna District. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)**
- 2. One CC to SRI. VENKATA DURGA RAO ANANTHA Advocate [OPUC]**
- 3. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME ,High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]**
- 4. One spare copy**

ER

HIGH COURT

BSBJ

DATED:02/11/2023

POST ON 16.11.2023

NOTICE TO RESPONDENT NO.6

WP.No.28693 of 2023

