

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: M.A.C.M.A.No.419 of 2022

PROCEEDING SHEET

<u>SL. NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
08	11.09.2023	<u>Dr.VRKS, J.</u> <u>I.A.No.01 of 2022</u> Learned counsel for appellant is present. Notice to Respondent No.1/claimant came returned unserved with postal endorsement “addressee left without instructions”. Since the notice was sent to the correct address and since respondent No.1 did not choose to intimate the correct address, service is held sufficient. None entered appearance. Notice to respondent No.2 came returned un-served with postal endorsement that the “addressee refused to receive”. Service is held sufficient. None entered appearance. For Respondent No.3 notice was served and proof of service was filed along with a memo of proof of service dated 28.11.2022. None entered appearance. Heard learned counsel for appellant. Perused the record. This application is filed under section 5 of Limitation Act seeking to condone 31 days delay in presenting the miscellaneous appeal by the insurer. Contd.,	

SL. NO.	<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>OFFICE NOTE</u>
		Mixing up of record is said to be the cause of delay. Since the facts are un-disputed, cause assigned is held sufficient. Delay is condoned. In the result, this application is allowed. _____ Dr.VRKS, J. <u>M.A.C.M.A.No.419 of 2022</u> Heard learned counsel. Perused the record. Admit. “For hearing”, list the appeal after four (04) weeks. _____ Dr.VRKS, J. <i>GRL</i>	