

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: C.C.No. 4477 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
22	07.04.2026	<u>RNT, J&BM, J</u> Charge was framed on 24.02.2026, against the respondent for wilful violation and disobedience of the interim order by executing the lease deed in favour of the third parties. 2. Opportunity was granted to lead evidence. The evidence was not filed on 17.03.2026. On the request of the learned counsel for the respondent, three weeks further time was granted to lead evidence. 3. The order dated 17.03.2026 reads as under: <i>“Sri V.S.K.Rama Rao, learned counsel appears for the contempt petitioner through virtual mode.</i> <i>2. Sri P.Madhukar Reddy, learned counsel representing Sri P.Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel for the respondent, prays for further time to file evidence of the respondent. He submits that due to certain unavoidable reasons, the evidence on the affidavit could not be filed by the said respondent.</i> <i>4. Finally, three weeks further time, is granted to lead evidence pursuant to the order dated 24.02.2026.</i> <i>5. List on 07.04.2026.”</i> 6. Any evidence has not been lead by the respondent. 7. Sri B.Paratha SARadhi Reddy, learned counsel	

		appearing for the counsel on record for the respondent prays for further time to lead the evidence. 8. Any application seeking extension of time or seeking permission of the court to lead evidence after the expiry of the period granted again on 17.03.2026, observing “finally three weeks time is granted”, has not been filed. 9. List in the next week for further proceedings against the respondent. RNT, J BM, J Pab	
--	--	---	--

--	--	--	--