


**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI
AND**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4477 OF 2024**



Between:

A Samanthakamani, s/o. A.S.N. Murthy, Aged about 80 years, Occ Housewife, R/o.Flat No.SK0005, Paramount Towers Panorama Hills, Law College Road, Gitam College P.O, Yedada, Viskhapatnam - 530 045.

...Petitioner

AND

N Dharma Rao, S/o. N.V.S. Naidu Aged 63 years, Occ: Advocate, Madhava Nagar, Kakinada, East Godavari District.

...Respondent

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of courts Act 1971, above case through his Counsel SRI V S K RAMA RAO, praying that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents herein for their willful, deliberate and wanton violation of the orders in C.M.P.No.6591 of 2003 in A.S.No.552 of 2003, dated 24.03.2003;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for hearing on this day and whereas the High Court upon perusing the affidavit filed herein and the earlier orders of High Court dated 03.12.2024 & 07.01.2025, made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI V S K RAMA RAO Advocate for the Petitioner and of SRI P NAGENDRA REDDY, Advocate for the Respondent, made the following

ORDER:

Heard Sri V.S.K.Rama Rao, learned counsel for contempt petitioner, appearing through virtual mode and Sri P.Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel appearing for the respondent and perused the response filed by the respondent.

2. The interim order dated 24.03.2003 was passed in C.M.P.No.6591 of 2003, which reads as under:

"Interim order as prayed for. Notice."

3. The petitioner submitted that in spite of the said interim order, there is alienation of the property by the respondent by executing a lease deed vide Document No.4938/2017 dated 15.07.2017.

4. In response filed by the respondent, execution of the said document and making the alienation is not disputed.

5. *Prima facie*, we are satisfied with the material before us that the case for framing the charges is made out.

6. Accordingly, following charge is framed:

"You, N.Dharma Rao, S/o N.V.S.Naidu, have knowingly and wilfully violated the interim order dated 24.03.2003 was passed in C.M.P.No.6591 of 2003 in A.S.No.552 of 2003, by executing the Lease Deed bearing Document No.4938/2017 dated 15.07.2017, and committed contempt of Court of the aforesaid order."

7. You are hereby called upon to file evidence on affidavit, in support of your defence and as to why you should not be punished under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

8. Let the evidence and affidavit be filed within a period of three weeks.

9. List the matter on 17.03.2026.

10. Let a copy of this order containing the charge framed be served on the respondent, through counsel.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- M S V NAVEEN CHANDRA
JOINT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. N Dharma Rao, S/o. N.V.S. Naidu Aged 63 years, Occ: Advocate, Madhava Nagar, Kakinada, East Godavari District. (by RPAD)
2. One CC to SRI. V S K RAMA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. P NAGENDRA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two spare copies

PSR

HIGH COURT

**RNT,J
&
BM,J**

DATED:24/02/2026

NOTE: List the matter on 17.03.2026.

ORDER

CC.No.4477 of 2024

DIRECTION

