

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: C.C.No.4477 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
20	24.02.2026	<u>RNT, J&BM, J</u> Heard Sri V.S.K.Rama Rao, learned counsel for contempt petitioner, appearing through virtual mode and Sri P.Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel appearing for the respondent and perused the response filed by the respondent. 2. The interim order dated 24.03.2003 was passed in C.M.P.No.6591 of 2003, which reads as under: <i>“Interim order as prayed for. Notice.”</i> 3. The petitioner submitted that in spite of the said interim order, there is alienation of the property by the respondent by executing a lease deed vide Document No.4938/2017 dated 15.07.2017. 4. In response filed by the respondent, execution of the said document and making the alienation is not disputed. 5. <i>Prima facie</i>, we are satisfied with the material before us the case for framing the charges is made out. 6. Accordingly, following charge is framed: “You, N.Dharma Rao, S/o N.V.S.Naidu, have knowingly and wilfully violated the	

		interim order dated 24.03.2003 was passed in C.M.P.No.6591 of 2003 in A.S.No.552 of 2003, by executing the Lease Deed bearing Document No.4938/2017 dated 15.07.2017, and committed contempt of Court of the aforesaid order.” 7. You are hereby called upon to file evidence on affidavit, in support of your defence and as to why you should not be punished under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. 8. Let the evidence and affidavit be filed within a period of three weeks. 9. List the matter on 17.03.2026. 10. Let a copy of this order containing the charge framed be served on the respondent, through counsel. RNT, J BM, J Pab	
--	--	---	--

--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--