

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

IA No. 2 OF 2025

IN

CRLP NO: 10442 OF 2025

Between:

Yanamala Sai Nagarjuna, S/o Y. Murali Krishna (Late), Age 32 years,
Occ: Politician, R/o. 4-112, Sattenapalli Mandal, Abburu, Palnadu
District.

...Petitioner/Accused 1

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court at Nelapadu, Amaravati, through the Station House Officer, Sattenapalle Town PS, Palnadu District.
2. Sekhar Prem Kumar, S/o. Guruswami Sekhar, Aged about 23 years, Occ: Student, Near Suddabavi, Sattenapalli Town, Palnadu District.

...Respondent/Complainant

Counsel for the Petitioner: Ms. GOUTHAMI SURAPAREDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to STAY of all further proceedings, including the arrest of the petitioner/sole accused in Crime No. 317 of 2025 dated 02.10.2025 on the File of Sattenapalle Town PS, Palnadu District, pending disposal of the petition for quashing.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order. (The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

The Court made the following ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner would submit that Petitioner herein is arrayed as Accused No.1 in the subject crime, which is registered based on omnibus allegations and that the petitioner is unnecessary dragged into this case with an ulterior motive. Further, Petitioner is studying L.L.B. in Sri Prasanna College of Law, Kurnool and filed copy of the hall ticket to that effect.

Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor would submit that the Court may pass appropriate orders.

Considering the submissions made, Police are directed to scrupulously follow the procedure prescribed under Section 35(3) of BNSS, which is *pari materia* to Section 41-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and the guidelines laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Armesh Kumar v. State of Bihar*¹.

**SD/- K.TATA RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, Sattenapalle Town PS, Palnadu District.
2. Sekhar Prem Kumar, S/o. Guruswami Sekhar, Aged about 23 years, Occ: Student, Near Suddabavi, Sattenapalli Town, Palnadu District. (BY RPAD)
3. One CC to Sri. GOUTHAMI SURAPAREDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati. [OUT]
5. **One spare copy**

¹ (2014) 8 SCC 273

HIGH COURT

DR.VJP, J

DATED:24/10/2025

LIST THE MATTER AFTER FOUR WEEKS.

ORDER

**IA No. 2 OF 2025
IN
CRLP NO: 10442 OF 2025**

DIRECTION

