

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.27165 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01	03.10.2025	<u>GTK, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2025</u> This application is filed to direct the respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere with petitioner's property i.e., extent of Ac.0.81 cents and Ac.1.60 cents out of Ac.3.75 cents in Sy.No.440/1 of Mudupulavemula Village, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District pending disposal of the writ petition. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home and Ms.Sayamma Oruganti, learned counsel for the respondent No.7. The case of the petitioners is that despite the orders of the Principal Civil Judge (Junior Division), Piler, in O.S.No.349 of 2025 in respect of property admeasuring 0.81 cents and 1.60 cents in Survey No.440/1 of Mudupulavemula Village, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District, the official respondents are interfering with petitioners' possession. To substantiate the grievance, the attention of the Court was taken to the photographs filed along with the writ affidavit and also the injunction order passed by the learned Principal Civil Judge (Junior Division), Piler,	

	granting interim injunction against the respondents till 13.10.2025. Admittedly, the interim injunction is in force. In rebuttal to the said arguments, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home has placed on record the instructions of the respondent No.7 stating that the parties in the suit were bound over for security reasons vide information laid under Section 126 of B.N.S.S. in C.No.____of 2025 of Piler Urban Police Station, dated 30.09.2025 to keep good behavior, further the instructions show that the concerned Inspector of Police has stated as follows: “...It is submit that, today i.e., on 30.09.2025 at 7:00 A.M. I received dial 100 call from headquarter, immediately my staff HCs-614, 3104, HG-362 reached to spot and found both are present there, picked up quarrel each other. Further I secured the respondents No.1 to 4, counseled them do not enter in Sy.No.440/1 and 2 of Mudupulavemula Village, Piler Mandal until orders issued from the revenue authorities. I suspect that the above respondents No.1 to 4 may indulge in acts of violence thereby cause breach of peace and may commit cognizable offence and cause breach of peace...” As per the content and meaning in the above paragraph, it is clear that the injunction granted by the Court was earlier to these proceedings (which is dated	
--	---	--

		30.09.2025) and any order aftermath would be interference/over-reaching with the injunction granted on 26.09.2025. As per the legal conundrum, under the guise of civil disputes, the police are not expected to interfere and there is no more <i>res integra</i> in view of the judgment of this Court in B.Vaheeda Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh In view of the same, there shall be direction to the respondents No.5 and 6 not to interfere with the civil disputes more particularly with the afore said property admeasuring 0.81 cents and 1.60 cents in Survey No.440/1 of Mudupulavemula Village, Piler Mandal, Annamayya District, until further orders. <u>W.P.No.27165 of 2025</u> The petitioner is permitted to take out personal service of notice to the unofficial respondents and file proof thereof. Post the matter after two (2) weeks. _____ GTK,J NSM	
--	--	---	--