

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: CrI.P.No.8528 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
05.	17.02.2026	<u>Dr.YLR,J</u> No representation for respondent No.2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. The learned Trial Court, by allowing the application filed by the petitioner/Accused No.1 under Section 243 read with Section 294 of 'the Cr.P.C.,' seeking to receive a document claimed to be the suicide note of his wife (deceased), received the said document on file subject to proof and relevancy. Another application filed by the petitioner under Section 311 of 'the Cr.P.C.,' to reopen his defence evidence to enable him to mark certain documents, was also allowed. However, the petitioner/Accused No.1 was directed to cooperate with the Court for speedy disposal of the case without any delay. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no follow-up steps were taken by the learned Trial Court either for marking the alleged suicide note as Ex.D1 or permitting the petitioner to prove and establish the contents of the alleged suicide note and	Contd...

its relevancy to the facts of the case, though it was received subject to proof and relevancy.

The learned counsel further submits that the learned Trial Court proceeded hastily and, as seen from the docket proceedings dated 25.07.2023, posted the matter for arguments without completing the necessary follow-up steps.

Be that as it may, the learned II Additional District Judge, Hindupur, is directed to submit a brief report about the status of the case.

The learned Trial Court is also directed to submit information as to whether the alleged suicide note was marked subject to proof and relevancy and whether any evidence was let in that regard, by the accused.

Post on 03.03.2026.

RSI

Dr.YLR,J