



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 27419/2025

Between:

1.M SUKUR @ CHICKEN BASHA, S/O.KHASIM SAHEB AGED 54 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.7-151 K.G.ROAD, JUPADU BUNGLOW VILLAGE AND MANDAL, NANDYAL DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY HOME DEPARTMENT A.P.SECRETAIRAT, VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT

2.THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NANDYAL DISTRICT, NANDYAL DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH

3.THE TAHSILDAR, JUPADU BUNGLOW MANDAL NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

4.THE SUB LNSPOECTOR OF POLICE, JUPADU BUNGLOW POLICE STATION K.G.ROAD, JUPADU BUNGLOW MANDAL NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

5.P DASTHAGIRAMMA, W/O.VENKATESWARLU AGED 50 YEARS, R/O.THANGEDANCHA VILLAGE JUPADU BUNGLOW MANDAL NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

6.PIKKALI RAMA NAIDU, S/O.SWAMAMMA, AGED 42 YEARS THANGEDANCHA VILLAGE, JUPADU BUNGLOW MANDAL NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.D KODANDARAMI REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“.... to issue a writ, order direction more particularly one the nature of a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 2 and 4 in not registering the case against

respondent Nos. 5 and 6 on the complaint given by the petitioner dt.23-08-2025 and the report submitted by the 4th respondent as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently direct the respondent no.4 to register a case against the respondent nos. 5 and 6.”

2. Heard Sri D.Kodandarami Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Md.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home would submit that the disputes between both the parties are purely civil in nature.

4. Recording the said submissions, the Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the Petitioner is at liberty to work out his remedies before appropriate forum in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:08.10.2025
ASR

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.27419 of 2025

Dt.08.10.2025

Asr