

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**MONDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI



WRIT PETITION NO: 27228 OF 2024

Between:

1. Idavalapati Ramanjaneyulu, S/o. Ramakrishna, aged about 28 years, Agriculturist, D.No.2-90B, Perayapalem, Prakasam Dist.
2. Chandolu Chandra Sekhar, S/o. Subbarao, aged about 42 years. Agriculturist, R/o D.No.2-81A, Dhenuvakonda Village, Dhenuvakonda (m), Prakasam Dist.
3. Yarram Rami Reddy, S/o. Subba Reddy, aged about 41 years, R/o 5-12, Dhenuvakonda, Dhenuvakonda Mandal, Prakasam District.
4. Purimetia Venkaiah, S/o. Venkata Subbaiah, aged about 55 years, agriculturist, R/o Perayapalem (V), Perayapalem, Prakasam district.
5. Chennupati Srinivasa Rao, S/o. Venkatappaiah, aged about 57 years, Agriculturist, R/o D.No.2-31, Perayapalem (V), Perayapalem, Prakasam District
6. Idavalapati Koteswara Rao, S/o. Rangaiah, aged about 43 years. Agriculturist, R/o Perayapalem, Perayapalem Mandal, Prakasam District.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. Union of India, Rep by Secretary, Department of Petroleum and Chemicals, New Delhi.
2. The Competent Authority (SDC), Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., (Pipeline Division), Krishnapatnam-Hyderabad Project, D.No.35-3-69/69/370/510, MIG-123, Housing Board Colony, Ongole – 523001.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd

respondent in laying the petrol and gas pipeline through the irrigation canal by deviating the initial gazette plan without considering the representation Dt. 18-11-2024 made by the petitioners is illegal, arbitrary, capricious and violative of Article 14, 21, and 300A of constitution of India. Consequently direct the respondent not to lay the petroleum and gas pipeline through the irrigation canal without taking any action on the representation made by the petitioner.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to lay petroleum and gas pipeline through the irrigation canal till the disposal of the representation made by the petitioners pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI N. A. RAMACHANDRA MURTHY

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI P. PONNA RAO, DEPUTY
SOLICITOR GENERAL OF INDIA**

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : SRI B.V.S.S. SIVARAM, SC FOR BPCL

The Court made the following: ORDER



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3331]

MONDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 27228/2024

Between:

- 1.IDAVALAPATI RAMANJANEYULU, S/O RAMAKRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS, AGRICULTURIST, D.NO.2-90B, PERAYAPALEM, PRAKASAM DIST.
- 2.CHANDOLU CHANDRA SEKHAR, S/O SUBBARAO, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS. AGRICULTURIST, R/O D.NO.2-81A, DHENUVAKONDA VILLAGE, DHENUVAKONDA (M), PRAKASAM DIST.
- 3.YARRAM RAMI REDDY, S/O SUBBA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS, R/O 5-12, DHENUVAKONDA, DHENUVAKONDA MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
- 4.PURIMETIA VENKAIAH, S/O VENKATA SUBBAIAH, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, AGRICULTURIST, R/O PERAYAPALEM (V), PERAYAPALEM, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.
- 5.CHENNUPATI SRINIVASA RAO, S/O VENKATAPPAIAH, AGED ABOUT 57YEARS, AGRICULTURIST, R/O D.NO.2-31, PERAYAPALEM (V), PERAYAPALEM, PRAKASAM DISTRICT
- 6.IDAVALAPATI KOTESWARA RAO, S/O RANGAIAH, AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS. AGRICULTURIST, R/O PERAYAPALEM, PERAYAPALEM MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.UNION OF INDIA, REP BY SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF

PETROLEUMS AND CHEMICALS, NEW DELHI.

2. THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY SDC, BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD (PIPELINE DIVISION), KRISHNAPATNAM-HYDERABAD PROJECT, D.NO.35-3-69/69/370/510, MIG-123, HOUSING BOARD COLONY, ONGOLE - 523001

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in laying the petrol and gas pipeline through the irrigation canal by deviating the initial gazette plan without considering the representation Dt. 18-11-2024 made by the petitioners is illegal, arbitrary, capricious and violative of Article 14, 21, and 300A of constitution of India. Consequently direct the respondent not to lay the petroleum and gas pipeline through the irrigation canal without taking any action on the representation made by the petitioner and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to lay petroleum and gas pipeline through the irrigation canal till the disposal of the representation made by the petitioners pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. N A RAMACHANDRA MURTHY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following:

:: ORDER ::

In pursuance of letter dated 06.03.2025 addressed to the Registrar (Judicial), learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, in this case, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- B CHITTI JOSEPH
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
NS
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri N. A. Ramachandra Murthy, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri P. Ponna Rao, Deputy Solicitor General of India Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri B.V.S.S. Sivaram, SC for BPCL (OPUC)
4. Three C.D. Copies.

Cnr




HIGH COURT

DATED:10/03/2025

ORDER

WP.No.27228 of 2024



**DISMISSING THE W.P. AS WITHDRAWN
WITHOUT COSTS**