

APHC010522592025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 27322/2025

Between:

1. PUSA MADHAVA REDDY, S/O SEETHARAMI REDDY AGE ABOUT 62 YEARS, OCCUPATION AGRICULTURE COOLY AND AGRICULTURE D.NO. 15-47, EGUAMITTAPALLE VILLAGE MITTAPALLE VILLAGE POST MADANAPALLE MANDALAM ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPTD BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY DEPT, OF HOME, SECRETARIAT VELAGAPUDI VILLAGE, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH PIN CODE 522238

2. THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT, OF POLICE ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT AT RAYACHOTY

3. THE DY SUPERINTENDENT, OF POLICE MADANAPALLE ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT

4. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, MADANAPALLE (RURAL), TALUKA OFFICE CAMPUS, OPP.B.T.COLLEGE BANGALORE ROAD, MADANAPALLE, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT PETITIONERS

5. SRI KALA VENKATA RAO, S/O NOT KNOWN, AGE 45 YEARS. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE MADANAPALLE (RURAL), TALUKA OFFICE CAMPUS, OPP.B.T.COLLEGE BANGALORE ROAD, MADANAPALLE, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT

6.P VENKATRAMANA, S/O PENUBADI LAKSHMANNA OCCUPATION - ASSISTANT ENGINEER PANCHYAT RAJ DEPARTMENT AGED 54 YEARS, D.NO. 3-161-28-11, SBI COLONY, BANDAMEDAKAMMAPALLE/BK PALLE MADANAPALLE TOWN ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.S S BHATT

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 27322 of 2025

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly, one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondent no. 4/ CI of Police Madanapalle Rural of Annamayya district in trying to interfere into civil disputes between the R6 and R7 and forcing the petitioner and his family to go for a compromise with R6 and R7 and forcing petitioner to give up his right, title, possession and claims etc on the land that belongs to the petitioner and his family since decades in Sy.no. 90 Extent Ac. 1-50 cents and also the land in Syno.101/2 Ac. 0-50 cents of govt land in Chinnathippasamudram/CTM village of Madanapalle Mandalam of Annamayya District and forcing writ petitioner to put signatures on empty bond and white papers and also R4 refusing to take any complaint that was presented by the petitioner against the Respondent No. 6 and 7 and putting the petitioner under threat perception as illegal arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondent No.4 not to insist the petitioner to go for a compromise with R6 and R7 and not to ask petitioner to put signature on compromise petition etc, with R6 and R7 and direct R4 not to force petitioner or his family to accept his terms and conditions with regards their landed properties and further direct R4 to receive any complaint that would be lodged, given by petitioner against the R6 and R7 herein and register the same as per law and R4 not to interfere into civil disputes between petitioner and R6 and R7 and finally direct the Respondent no.4 not to ask the petitioner to go to the police station without following due process of law, and not to threaten the petitioner in any manner and pass....”

2. Heard Sri S.S.Bhatt, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police are interfering in the civil disputes between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents. He would further submit that the respondent police are threatening the petitioner to compromise in the civil disputes. The police are not receiving the complaint given by the petitioner against the unofficial respondents.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents would submit that the Court may pass appropriate Orders.

5. Considering the submissions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner is at liberty to file a complaint before the District Police Complaints Authority to work out his remedy. The Police are directed not to interfere in the civil disputes between the petitioner and the unofficial respondents, except under due process of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 08.10.2025.

Note: CC today,

B/o.

UPS

18

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.27322 of 2025

Dated.08.10.2025

*Note: CC today,
B/o.
UPS*