



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 27319/2025

Between:

1. THUMU VENKATA KRISHNA REDDY, S/O. RAMANA REDDY, AGED
37 YEARS, R/O. D. NO. 3-6, BOMMIREDDI PALLE, PRAKASAM
DISTRICT - 523254.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR
DISTRICT.

2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND PLANNING,
SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR
DISTRICT.

3. THE COMMISSIONER AND DIRECTOR, MUNICIPAL
ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, PRIME HILL CREST, NEAR DGP
OFFICE, BEHIND ULTRA TECH READYMIX PLANT,
VADDESWAREM, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

4. THE DIRECTOR OF WORKS AND ACCOUNTS, DIRECTORATE OF
WORKS AND ACCOUNTS, VIJAYAWADA, NTR DISTRICT,
(ERSTWHILE KRISHNA DISTRICT)

5. THE ONGOLE MUNICIPALITY, REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER,
ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

6. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ONGOLE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,
ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

7. THE PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICER, WORKS AND ACCOUNTS,
ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, declaring the action of the respondents in non-payment of bills payable to the petitioner to a tune of Rs. 39,99,696/- (Rupees Thirty-Nine Lakhs Ninety-Nine Thousand, Six Hundred and Ninety-Six)(Gross Amount) for the work done pertaining to Providing C.C. Drain from Sujatha Nagar Compound Wall to Masjid in Police Quarters in Sunnapubattilu Road in Division No. 45 (2nd call) with Estimate Cost Rs. 40.00 Lakhs as illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable and against the Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, 1949 and consequently direct the respondents to pay the bill amount of Rs. 39,99,696/- (Rupees Thirty-Nine Lakhs Ninety-Nine Thousand, Six Hundred and Ninety-Six)(Gross Amount), for the work executed by the petitioner and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 01/09/2025, and to release the withholding bill amount payable to the petitioner to a tune of Rs. 39,99,696/- [Rupees Thirty-Nine Lakhs Ninety-Nine Thousand, Six Hundred and Ninety-Six] (Gross Amount), pending disposal of the writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. BANDLA PAVAN

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP MUNICIPAL ADMN AND URBAN DEV AP

2. GP FOR FINANCE PLANNING

The Court made the following:

ORDER:-

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has awarded the work done pertaining to providing C.C. drain from Sujatha Nagar compound wall to Masjid in Police Quarters in Sunnapubattilu road in Division No.45 (2nd call), vide an Agreement No.744/2023-24, dated 22.01.2024.

2. The learned counsel further submits that the petitioner duly executed the work and thereafter submitted final bills for an amount of Rs.39,99,696/-. It is submitted that the said final bills were duly endorsed by the competent authority. A statement detailing the work executed by the petitioner was prepared and the progress of the work was recorded in the M-book. However, in spite of the completion of all procedural formalities, the payment towards the completed work has not been released till date.

3. Heard the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration & Urban Development, the learned Standing Counsel for the Corporation and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Finance and Planning.

4. During the course of hearing, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Corporation does not dispute the submissions made by the petitioner with respect to the completion of work undertaken.

5. Considering the submissions, respondent Nos.2, 5 are hereby directed to verify and release the payments due payable to the petitioner as

expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six (06) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed off. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

Dt: 08.10.2025
SR

JUSTICE HARINATH.N