

APHC010519752022



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3208]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D RAMESH

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4369/2022

Between:

1.D.VISHNUVARDHAN REDDY, S/O. RANGA REDDY, AGED ABOUT
67YEARS, R/O. H.NO.40/802-A1, NEHRU NAGAR, KURNOOL
DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.SRI V VIKRAM SIMHA STATION HOUSE OFFICER, INSPECTOR OF
POLICE, KURNOOL TALUK POLICE STATION, KURNOOL DISTRICT
2.SRI K V MAHESH, DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, SUB-
DIVISIONAL POLICE OFFICER, KURNOOL,

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to take cognizance of the offence committed by the Respondents in violating and flouting the interim order passed by this Hon'ble Court on 24.8.2020 in CrI.P.No.3305/ 2020 with regard to filing of the charge sheet and non-disclosure of the interim order when the matter was being taken on file by the VI Additional District Sessions-cum-Special Court for Trial of Cases under (POA) Act in SC/ST SC.No.32/2021 under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act and punish appropriately and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant leave to file CC.No. 4369/2022 against the 2nd Respondent and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.SODUM ANVESHA

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.Y NAGI REDDY

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.RAMESH

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 4369 OF 2022

ORDER:

1. The Contempt Case is filed for violation of the order dt.24.08.2020 in Crl.P.No.3305 of 2020, wherein, considering the submissions, this Court granted stay of further proceedings. Despite the existence of interim stay, the respondents have filed a charge sheet and the same is taken on record and numbered.
2. The learned counsel for the contemnors has submitted that in fact, subsequently the Criminal Petition itself is allowed and quashed the F.I.R.
3. Taking the subsequent events into consideration, the Contempt Case is closed. No costs.
4. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this contempt case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE D.RAMESH

Dt.11.12.2025
BV