




IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF OCTOBER

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE B S BHANUMATHI

IA No. 1 OF 2025

IN

CRP NO: 2567 OF 2025

Between:

Vanka Lakshmiddevamma, W/o. V.Satyanarayana Reddy, Aged about 74 years, R/o. MIG-304 HPHB Colony, Anantapuram.

...Petitioner(s)

(Petitioner/Petitioner/Plaintiff in CRP 2567 OF 2025

on the file of High Court)

AND

Vanka Lakshminarayana Reddy, S/o. Late V.Sanjeevappa, Aged about 67 years, R/o. Bavarampalli Village, Kalyandurg Mandal, Anantapuram District.

...Respondent(s)

(Respondent/Respondent/Defendant in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner :SRI NALLANI VAMSI KRISHNA

Counsel for the Respondent :M/s PADMAJA VAKA

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in O.S. No. 93 / 2008 on the file of the Court of the Junior Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kalyandurg, Pending disposal of CRP No. 2567 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER:

This petition is filed to grant stay of all further proceedings in O.S.No.93 of 2008 on the file of the Court of the Junior Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kalyandurg pending the disposal of the civil revision petition.

Heard the learned counsels for the petitioner and the respondents as it is represented that vakalat had been filed for the respondent today. The learned counsel for the respondent sought time for enquiry in the revision petition.

Therefore, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is necessary to grant interim relief as the trial Court is proceeding with the trial to lead the evidence of the respondent / defendant today, though the order passed by the trial Court dismissing the petition to demark the agreement of sale / Ex.B22 in spite of the objection for its admissibility in evidence for want sufficient stamp duty is under challenge in the present revision petition. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner requested to pass interim order staying the further proceedings in the trial or else the purpose of filing revision petition would be defeated.

Considering the urgency and as the revision petition involves decision on a crucial aspect, interim stay as prayed for is granted till 04.11.2025.

SD/- T. SRINIVASA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Junior Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kalyandurg, Anantapuramu District
2. Vanka Lakshminarayana Reddy, S/o. Late V.Sanjeevappa, Aged about 67 years, R/o. Bavarampalli Village, Kalyandurg Mandal, Anantapuram District. **(By RPAD)**
3. One CC to SRI. NALLANI VAMSI KRISHNA, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to M/s PADMAJA VAKA, Advocate [OPUC]
5. **One spare copy**

PSR

HIGH COURT

BSB,J

DATED:30/10/2025

NOTE: POST ON 04.11.2025.

ORDER

**IA No. 1 OF 2025
IN
CRP NO: 2567 OF 2025**

INTERIM STAY

