



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3548]

WEDNESDAY, THE 08th DAY OF JULY 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

WRIT PETITION NO: 31648/2022

Between:

K.Prabhavathi and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.SURESH KUMAR REDDY KALAVA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME (AP)

2.V R REDDY KOVVURI

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Heard Mr. Chaitanya, learned counsel representing Mr. Suresh Kumar Reddy Kalava, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Dora Babu, learned counsel for the respondents, and Mr. R. Mallikharjuna Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, in view of the Judgment and Decree passed in O.S.No.266 of 2012, dated 30.04.2013 on the file of the learned Senior Civil Judge, Madanapalle, a representation was made to the official respondents to grant police aid and to prevent the unofficial respondents from trespassing into the petitioners' property admeasuring an extent of Ac.3.08 cents in Sy.No.1917-1 of B. Kothakota Revenue Village and Mandal, Annamayya District.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would further submit that, at the stage of admission, this Court, taking into consideration of the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioners, passed an order on 27.09.2022 in I.A.No.1 of 2022, which reads as follows:

"In the meanwhile, respondents 3 and 4 are directed to consider the representation, dated 09.07.2022, of the petitioners submitted for grant of police aid for effective implementation of the permanent injunction decree, dated 30.04.2013, passed in their favour in O.S.No.266 of 2012 on the file of the learned Senior Civil Judge, Madanapalle, by the next date of hearing.

4. Thereafter, the matter underwent several adjournments. When the matter came up for admission, this Court put a pointed question to the learned

Assistant Government Pleader as to what action had been taken on the said representation.

5. Today, Mr. R. Mallikharjuna Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader, placed on record the written instructions submitted by the Station House Officer, B. Kothakota Police Station, Annamayya District. The said instructions disclose that the disputes between the petitioners and the respondents are perennial in nature and are pending before the learned Junior Civil Judge, Madanapalli, in O.S.No.509 of 2022, wherein an order of interim injunction was granted in I.A.No.468 of 2022. The written instructions also indicate that the representation made by the petitioners had not been served on the respondents, and in view of that, no action could be taken.

6. In rebuttal to the contentions raised in the writ petition, the Station House Officer, who is a respondent herein, has stated in the written instructions that, in view of the pendency of the civil proceedings between the parties, no action could be taken on the representation submitted by the petitioners.

7. Mr. Dora Babu, learned counsel for the unofficial respondents, would submit that the petitioners have the liberty to approach the competent Civil Court by invoking the provisions of Order XXXIX Rule-2 of the Code of Civil Procedure or by initiating Execution proceedings. However, instead of availing the said remedies under the Code of Civil Procedure, they have filed

the present Writ Petition seeking a direction to the official respondents to provide police protection and to take action against the unofficial respondents.

8. Since the police have stated that the dispute is civil in nature and, in view of the directions issued by this Court, as well as the fact that O.S. No.509 of 2022 is pending, wherein the petitioners have been granted an order of interim injunction against the unofficial respondents, this Court is of the view that no further directions are warranted in the present Writ Petition. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to avail the appropriate remedies available to them under law.

9. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA, J

Date: 08.07.2026
KNN

255

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

WRIT PETITION NO: 31648 of 2022

Date: 08.07.2026

KNN