



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 27375/2025

Between:

- 1.KODIDALA SATYANARAUYANA, S/O SURYANARAYANA, AGE 48 YEARS, MALE, BUSINESS, R/O D.NO. 198-3A/4, JITENDRA NILAYAM, TETALI-534218, TANUKU MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT. 2
- 2.KODIDALA NARSA BHAVANI, W/O SATYANARAYANA, AGE 44 YEARS. FEMALE, BUSINESS, R/O D.NO. 198-3A/4, JITENDRA NILAYAM, TETALI-534218, TANUKU MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPLE SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT AT VELGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 2.
- 2.THE SUPERINDENT OF POLICE, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT AT BHIMAVARAM 3.
- 3.THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE, PALAKOL TOWN POLICE STATION, PALAKOL -534260, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT 4.
- 4.YERRAMSETTI PADMA RAO, S/O SURYANARAYANA, AGE 57 YEARS, MALE, COCONUT-BUSINESS, R/O D.NO. 4-181, VEDANGI - 534265, PODURU MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
- 5.MAMIDISETTI SRINIVASU, S/O SIVANNARAYANA, AGE 33 YEARS, MALE, BUSINESS, R/O PALAKODERU-534210, PALAKODERU

MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT. 6.

6.MAMIDI BABU, S/O VENKATA RAO, AGE 55 YEARS, MALE, BUSINESS, R/O NEAR JAGGAYAMMA SATRAM, PALAKOL-534260, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT. 7.

7.GUDIMETLA VENU GOPALA JAGGA RAO, S/O KRISHNA RAO, AGE 60 YEARS, MALE, BUSINESS, SHAVUKARU PET R/O PALAKOL-534260, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT. 8.

8.MAJETI KUMARI LAKSHMI ANDALLU, W/O SAI MURALI, AGE 59 YEARS. FEMALE, R/O ELURU-534001, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.KOTHA NAGA SURYA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION NO: 27375 of 2025

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“...to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the inaction of 2nd Respondent in considering petitioners registered request letter dt. 17-09-2025 though served on 18-09-2025 to provide security to their life and property i.e., a house site admeasuring to an extent of 184.16666 square yards a house site property admeasuring to an extent of 184.166 square yards situated in the Survey No.210-A, removed house D.No. 15-3-89 of Shavukaru peta of Palakol, West Godavari District, having purchased the same from the person Mamidisetti Srinivasu, S/o Sivannarayana of Palakoderu under two registered sale deeds dated 14-06-2025 for a valuable consideration, were registered as Documents No. 1746 of 2025 1747 of 2025 on 21-06-2025 in the office of the Sub- Registrar, Achanta as wholly illegal arbitrary, unjust, malafide, unconstitutional, against the principles of natural justice and violation of petitioners fundamental rights guaranteed under article 21 and 300A of the constitution of India, consequently direct the Respondents-2 & 3 to give necessary protection to the petitioners as there was threat to their lives in the hands of Respondents 4 to 8 and also to restrain Respondents- 4 to 8 and their goons as they are high handedly trying to dispossess the Petitioners from their house site admeasuring 184.16666 square yards as stated supra and issue such other writ or order as deemed fit proper in the circumstances of the case and pass necessary orders in the interest of justice....”

2. Heard Sri Kotha Naga Surya Rao, learned counsel for the Petitioners and Sri V.Farooq, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home for the respondents, on written instructions, would submit that basing on the complaint made by the sister of the 7th respondent herein through Public Grievance Redressal System, the petitioners were called to the police station for enquiry and no crime has been registered against the petitioners. He would further submit that the police did not choose to register the FIR on the representation of the Petitioners, since the matter is of civil in nature.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that recording the same, the petition may be disposed of.

5. Considering the submissions made, this Writ Petition is disposed of. However, the police are directed not to interfere in the civil disputes between the parties except under due process of law. The police are further directed not to call the petitioners to the police station in absence of any crime registered against them. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 09.10.2025.
UPS

88

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No. 27375 of 2025

Dated.09.10.2025

UPS