

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE: W.P.No.26042 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
10.	11.02.2026	<u>TRR, J</u> The contention of the petitioner is that the 5th respondent established a liquor shop with two permit rooms without obtaining any permission from the respondent authorities, contrary to Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Excise(Grant of License of selling by shop and conditions of license) Rules, 2024. Despite the said fact has been brought to the notice of the official respondents, there is no action. Hence, the petitioner preferred the present writ petition seeking a direction to the official respondents to take appropriate action on respondent No.5. Learned counsel for respondent No.5 disputed the same and submitted that the respondent No.5 is running the shop with only one permit room and is conducting business strictly in accordance with the license conditions. Hence, the contention raised by the petitioner is false. Since disputed questions of fact are involved in the present writ petition, this Court cannot adjudicate the matter under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. However, to resolve the	

		dispute, this Court is inclined to appoint an Advocate Commissioner. Accordingly, Sri Kambampati Ramesh Babu, learned counsel, is appointed as an Advocate Commissioner to ascertain whether respondent No.5 is operating the shop with two permit rooms contrary to the license conditions, within the radius of 100 meters at Balaji Cheruvu Center, Kakinada. The fee for the Advocate Commissioner is fixed at Rs.30,000/- (Rs.15,000/- each from the petitioner and respondent No.5), excluding expenses. The Advocate Commissioner is directed to file a report within a period of two (02) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. List the matter after two (02) weeks. TRR,J <i>KBN</i>	
--	--	---	--