



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

**TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 17911 OF 2014

Between:

1.M. POONGODI, CHITTOOR DIST, W/O. LATE MAHESWARAN, AGED
40 YEARS, R/O. D NO. 19-204, JAILKHANA STREET, MITTOOR,
CHITTOOR DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.DIVISIONAL ENGINEER APSPDCL CHITTOOR DIST 2 OT,
CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT. REP BY DIVISIONAL ENGINEER

2.THE ELECTRICAL ENGINEER A P SOUTHERN POWER
DISTRIBUTION, CO. LTD, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

3.A ANNAMALAI, S/O. LATE A AYYASWAMY, R/O. D NO. 19-
204, JAILKHANA STREET, MITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.T JANARDHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.VENKATA RAMA RAO KOTA SC FOR APSPDCL

2.V R REDDY KOVVURI(SC FOR APSPDCL)

3.P JAGADISH CHANDRA PRASAD

The Court made the following ORAL ORDER:

None for the Writ Petitioner.

2. Heard Sri K. Ashok, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of Sri Venkata Rama Rao Kota, Ld. Standing Counsel for the APSPDCL and Sri P.Jagadish Chandra Prasad, Ld. Counsel for the Respondent No.3.

3. The prayer sought in the present Writ Petition is as follows:

“It is therefore prayed that this Hon’ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus : declaring the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in disconnecting the S.C. No. 5112505000271 of petitioner's house D No. 19-204, Jailkhana Street, Mittoor, Chittoor District without any notice and without any default in payment of monthly bills as illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 to restore the petitioner's S.C. No.5112505000271 immediately in the interest of justice.

4. Though this Writ Petition is filed in the year 2014, it was listed only on three earlier occasions i.e., (on 01.07.2014, 07.07.2014 and 17.07.2014). The docket proceedings would indicate that this Court has not granted any interim protection nor has issued any interim direction for restoration of service connection to the Writ Petitioner. In this view of the matter, this Court is of the view that this Writ Petition has become infructuous as no cause survives.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

6. Interlocutory Applications, if any, stand closed in terms of this order.

GANNAMANENIRAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt: 02.12.2025

Mnr

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No.17911 OF 2014

Dt: 02.12.2025

Mnr