

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH: AMARAVATI

**MAIN CASE No: M.A.C.M.A.No.2204 of 2005
M.A.C.M.A.No.1913 of 2006**

1
2

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	04.12.2025	<u>BSB, J</u> <u>M.A.C.M.P.No.3654 of 2005</u> in <u>M.A.C.M.A.No.2204 of 2005</u> This application is filed to receive the documents namely discharge summary issued by the Apollo Hospitals, Chennai, dated 25.07.2005 and O.P. Follow-up Sheet issued by Apollo Hospitals, Chennai, dated 25.07.2005 as additional evidence and the same may be marked as Ex.A.11 and Ex.A.12 respectively in the M.V.O.P.No.749/1999. The respondent No.1 is not contesting. No counter has been filed by the respondent No.2. The learned counsel for the respondent No.2 reported no counter. Heard both sides. Though the data online indicates that this petition was allowed on 02.08.2018, there is no docket order or the endorsement recorded on the petition. Hence, treating the petition as pending, for the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, the petition is allowed as prayed for. The Registry is directed to record marking of Ex.A.11 and Ex.A.12.	Contd...

		<u>M.A.C.M.P.No.1747 of 2013</u> in <u>M.A.C.M.A.No.2204 of 2005</u> This application is filed to amend the amount of compensation of Rs.26,38,000/- claimed before the Tribunal below as Rs.33,84,933/- in M.V.O.P.No.749 of 1999 on the file of the Motor Vehicles Accidents Claims Tribunal-cum-V Additional District Judge, Vijayawada. Though the data online indicates that this petition was allowed on 13.04.2015, there is no docket order or the endorsement recorded on the petition. Hence, the petition is treated as pending. The respondent No.1 is not contesting. No counter has been filed by the respondent No.2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petition may be closed giving liberty to the petitioner to seek enhanced amount of compensation as a claimant is entitled to just amount of compensation irrespective of the amount of compensation claimed and that the claimant is ready to pay the additional Court fee on the enhanced amount, if granted in the appeal, beyond the amount claimed before the Tribunal for which Court fee had already been paid. Accordingly, the petition is closed.	Contd...
--	--	---	----------

		<u>M.A.C.M.A.No.2204 of 2005 &</u> <u>M.A.C.M.A.No.1913 of 2006</u> Heard learned counsels for both parties. Post the matter on 26.12.2025 under the caption 'for pronouncement of orders'. <u>B.S.BHANUMATHI,J</u> NSM	
--	--	--	--