

60-62-63-64. (4 Cases)

CEA Nos.297, 294, 295 and 296 of 2010

THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE AND CUSTOMS
VISAKHAPATNAM
Vs
M/S SHAH BROTHER CHENNAI.

Present: Mr. Venna Hemanth Kumar, Central Government
Counsel representing Mr. B.V.S. Chalapati Rao,
Advocate for Appellant.

Mr. Chanda Sumanth, Advocate representing
Mr. Lakshmi Kumaran & Sridharan, Advocate
for Respondent.

Learned counsel for respondent, while referring to a chart prepared by him, submits that tax effect in all these appeals is lower than the amount prescribed in Circular dated 02.11.2023.

It is further submitted that another appeal arising out of the impugned order i.e. CEA No.292 of 2010, was withdrawn by department on 31.01.2025.

Learned counsel for appellant prays for one last opportunity to seek instructions.

Contd.,

At request, adjourned to 30.04.2026.

Photocopy of order be placed on files of above mentioned connected cases.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(NINALA JAYASURYA)
JUDGE

Date: 23.04.2026
MS