

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
MONDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI
CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2790 OF 2024



Between:

Shriram General Insurance Co Ltd, Rep. by its Senior Divisional Manager,
Venkataraju Nagar, Dondaparthi, Near Railway station Road, Visakhapatnam

Revision Petitioner/ Petitioner/Respondent No.2

AND

1. Chenkula @ Benkili Divya, W/o. Neelakantam, Aged 24 years, labour,
Dasari by caste, R/o. Balagudaba Village, Parvathipuram Mandal,
Parvathipuram Manyam District.

Respondents/Respondents/Claim Petitioner

2. Kothavalasa Jagadeeswara Rao, S/o. Late Joginaidu, aged 60 years, T.
Kapu by caste, Kattula Kaviti Village, Veeraghattam Mandal,
Parvathipuram Manyam District, Driver Cum Owner of Auto bearing
No.AP 30 TC 2295.

Respondents/Respondents/Respondent No.1

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is filed, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to allow the CRP by setting aside the order dated 22.04.2024 in I.A.No.198 of 2024 in MVOP No.45 of 2023 on the file of the Motor Vehicles Accidents Claims Tribunal (II Addl. District Judge, Parvathipuram) and allow the I.A.No.198 of 2024 in MVOP No.45 of 2023 filed by the Revision Petitioner herein/Petitioner/Respondent No.2 in the main other order.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be

pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in MVOP No.45 of 2023 on the file of Motor Vehicles Accidents Claims Tribunal (II Addl. District Judge, Parvathipuram), Pending disposal of CRP 2790 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier orders of the High Court dated 20.11.2024, 11.12.2024, 03.01.2025 & 03.02.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of M/s A.Jayanthi, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Court made the following

ORDER:

It is brought to the notice of this Court that, pursuant to the directions of this Court, notice has been sent to 1st respondent and proof of service was also filed along with memo. A perusal of the acknowledgement shows that one Sri N.Narasaiah, has received the said notice. In spite of the same, 1st respondent did not choose to put up appearance. Learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission of this Court to take personal notice on the counsel appearing for 1st respondent before the Tribunal.

Permission accorded.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on the counsel appearing for the 1st respondent before the Tribunal through RPAD and file proof of service before the Registry.

Post on 11.03.2025.

Interim orders granted earlier are extended for a further period of four (04) weeks.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- A. VIJAYA BABU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

SEC 11-

To,

1. The Motor Vehicles Accidents Claims Tribunal (II Addl. District Judge, Parvathipuram, Vizianagaram District
2. One CC to M/s A JAYANTHI, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One spare copy

svp

HIGH COURT

RC,J

DATED:24.02.2025

Post on 11.03.2025

ORDER

CRP.No.2790 of 2024

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

