

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE No.C.C.No.3333 of 2025

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
05.	19.02.2026	<u>GRKP, J</u> Heard Sri N. Sai Phanindra Kumar, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Sri Rama Rao Kochiri, learned Counsel for the Respondents. 2. The Counter Affidavit filed by Respondent No.1 has been perused by the Court. The explanation given by Respondent No.1 in paras 4 & 6 are unconvincing, inasmuch as the Respondent No.1 has not even furnished the details including the name of the Extension Officer and the time of seizure of the record by the Extension Officer. The least that the Respondent No.1 could have done is to have the Affidavit of Extension Officer also be filed in the present Contempt Proceedings to indicate the correctness of the averments. 3. In this view of the matter, the Court is inclined to issue Form-I Notice to Respondent No.1.	(Contd..)

		4. Having regard to the facts of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to dispense with the formal mode of Notice. Instead, Sri Rama Rao Kochiri, learned Counsel representing the Respondent No.1 shall inform to the Respondent No.1 as regards the issuance of Form-I Notice to him. 5. List on 25.02.2026. GRKP, J <u>Note:</u> Issue C.C today, B/o, Vns	
--	--	--	--