

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD
CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3333 OF 2025**



Between:

Thota Siva Sai, S/o. Thota Subramanyam, Occ: Vice President, Railway Kodur Gram Panchayat, Aged about 56 years, R/o. House No.2/38A, Baliya Street, Railway Kodur, Annamayya District, A.P.-516101.

...Petitioner

AND

1. Chamakuri Sreedhar, District Collector, Annamayya District, A.P.
2. Shaik Mastan Valli, District Panchayat Officer Annamayya District, A.P.
3. Sri Dandae Venkata Prasad Rao, S/o. D. Nagabhushanam, Aged about 60 years, R/o. D.No. 3/439, Raja Reddy Street, Annamayya District, A.P. 516001 Previously Worked as Panchayat Secretary, Railway Kodur Gram Panchayat
4. Ch. Nagarjuna Rao, S/o. Ch. Viswanandha Rao, Aged about 57 years, Railway Kodur Gram Panchayat, Tirupati District 5161013. Previously worked as Extension Officer(PR and RD), Now working as Mandal Parishad Development Officer.

(Note: RR 3 and 4 are impleaded as per court order dated 09.03.2026, Vide IA No 1 and 2 of 2026 in CC No 3333 of 2025)

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner has presented under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971, above case through his counsel SRI.CKR ASSOCIATES, praying that this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents herein for gross and willful violation of the interim orders dated 20.03.2025 passed in W.P.No.6986 of 2025;

WHEREAS the said case coming on for orders as to admission on this day and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein and the earlier orders of the High Court dated 31.03.2026, 17.03.2026, 03.03.2026, 25.02.2026, 12.11.2026 & 06.04.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of M/s CKR Associates, Advocate for the Petitioner, Sri Rama Rao Kochiri, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2, and of Sri M.Sudhir, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4, the Court made the following

ORDER:

Heard Sri N. Sai Phanindra Kumar, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, Sri Posani Venkateswarlu, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Sri Kochiri Rama Rao, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Sri M. Sudhir, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. When the matter was taken-up for final hearing, Sri M. Sudhir, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 has submitted that a copy of the Memo filed by the Petitioner on 09.02.2026 has not been served on them. It transpires from the record that the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 were only impleaded on 09.03.2026. The Memo that is earlier filed could not be served on the said Respondents. In any case, the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 would also be entitled to receive a copy of Memo, inasmuch as the Memo consists of the allegations against them.

3. In this view of the matter, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of Memo dated 09.02.2026 on Respondent Nos.3 & 4. While passing this Order, learned Counsel for the Petitioner has furnished a copy of Memo dated 09.02.2026 to Sri M. Sudhir, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

4. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the contents of the Memo, which consists of the Affidavits filed by three Ward Members. On perusal of these Affidavits, it is noticed by this Court that the Affidavits filed by the three Ward Members are part

of pleadings in the Writ Petition. Whereas, some Deponents, have not filed separate Affidavits indicating the facts that have occurred in the present Contempt Case.

5. The present Contempt Proceedings, being quasi-criminal by their very nature, requires higher standard of proof. It also requires the parties to file depositions directly in the present contempt proceedings by way of Affidavits. At this stage, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks time to file separate Affidavits by the three Ward Members. Let the Affidavits be filed within two weeks. One week thereafter for filing Counter Affidavit, if any, by the Contemnors to the said Affidavits.

6. Sri S. Masthan Vali, Divisional Panchayat Officer, CH. Nagarjuna Rao, M.P.D.O and Sri D.V. Prasad Rao, Panchayat Secretary are present today. They shall be present on the next hearing.

7. List the matter on 29.06.2026.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-U.SRIDEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Chamakuri Sreedhar, District Collector, Annamayya District, A.P.
2. Shaik Mastan Valli, District Panchayat Officer Annamayya District, A.P.
3. Sri Dandae Venkata Prasad Rao, S/o. D. Nagabhushanam, Aged about 60 years, R/o. D.No. 3/439, Raja Reddy Street, Annamayya District, A.P. 516001 Previously Worked as Panchayat Secretary, Railway Kodur Gram Panchayat
4. Ch. Nagarjuna Rao, S/o. Ch. Viswanandha Rao, Aged about 57 years, Railway Kodur Gram Panchayat, Tirupati District 5161013. Previously worked as Extension Officer(PR and RD), Now working as Mandal Parishad Development Officer. **(Addressees 1 to 4 by speed Post)**
5. One CC to M/s CKR Associates, Advocate [OPUC]

6. One CC to Sri Rama Rao Kochiri, Advocate [OPUC]
7. One CC to Sri M.Sudhir, Advocate [OPUC]
8. One spare copy

PSR

HIGH COURT

GRKP,J

DATED:17.04.2026.

NOTE: List the matter on 29.06.2026.

ORDER

CC.No.3333 of 2025

APPEARANCE

