



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

TUESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF AUGUST

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MS JUSTICE B S BHANUMATHI
CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2510 OF 2023

Between:

Chigurupati Sunil Chowdary, S/o Late Chigurupati Ranga Rao, Hindu,
Properties, Aged about 41 years, Resident of Door No.3-185, Ayyapa Temple
Street, Gollapudi, NTR District.

Petitioner/Petitioner/Proposed Defendant

AND

1. Guntupalli Seetaramaiah, S/o G.Pithchaiah Aged about 53 years, R/o
Eelaprolu Village, Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, Krishna District.

Respondent/Respondent/Plaintiff

2. Adusumilli Narayana Rao, S/o A.Rama Rao, Aged about 62 years, R/o
D.No.23-29, Gollapudi Village, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, Krishna
District.

3. Yarlagadda Lakshmaiah, S/o Y.Koteswara Rao, Aged about 70 years,
Rio D.No.22-29, Gollapudi Village, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, Krishna
District.

4. M/s.Rajya Lakshmi Constructions, Represented by its Partner
Nuthulapati Suresh Kumar, S/o Late Krishna Rao, Aged about 53 years,
Occ. Business and Properties, R/o Gollapudi, Vijayawada Rural
Mandal, NTR District.

5. The Principal, Sri Chaitanya Junior College, Gollapudi

Respondents/Respondents/Defendants

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the Order
dated 06/09/2023 in IA.No.397 of 2023 in OS.No.295 of 2012 on the file of VII
Additional District Judge, at Vijayawada

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in OS.No.295 of 2012 on the file of VII Additional District Judge, at Vijayawada, Pending disposal of CRP 2510 of 2023, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the orders of the High Court dated:20.10.2023, 10.11.2023 , 24.11.2023 , 08.12.2023 & 05.01.2024 and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M R K Chakravarthy Advocate for the Petitioner, Sri T V P Sai Vihari Advocate for the Respondent No.1, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

“The learned counsel for the petitioner(s) requested for extension of interim order. ✓

The learned counsel for the respondent(s) opposed the same. ✓

Hence, post on 03.09.2024. ✓

Interim order granted earlier is extended till then.” ✓

//TRUE COPY//

A Sd/- M. PRABAKAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The VII Additional District Judge, Vijayawada ✓
2. One CC to Sri M R K Chakravarthy Advocate [OPUC] ✓
3. One CC to Sri T V P Sai Vihari Advocate [OPUC] ✓
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT


BSB,J

DATED:20/08/2024

POST ON 03.09.2024

ORDER

CRP.No.2510 of 2023



INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED