

APHC010497762021



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
WRIT PETITION NO: 29893/2021

Between:

1. POLAKI APPA RAO, S/O. LATE MALLAYYA, AGED. 63 YEARS, OCC. RETD. EMPLOYEE, R/O. QUARTER NO.9, BSNL COLONY, WOMEN'S COLLEGE ROAD, SRIKAKULAM, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT, SRIKAKULAM.
3. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, TEKKALI POLICE STATION, TEKKALI, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.
4. SRI N KAMESWARA RAO, SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TEKKALI POLICE STATION, TEKKALI, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.
5. SMT POLAKI SUNDARI, W/O. APPA RAO, AGED 58 YEARS, R/O. MANDHAPOLAM COLONY, NEAR DURGA TEMPLE, TEKKALI TOWN AND Mandal, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. T D PANI KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

“.... to issue Writ, direction or order more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declare the action of 3rd respondent calling the petitioner to their police station for settlement of matrimonial dispute in between petitioner and 5th respondent herein

inpsite of compromise award arrived before lok adalath in PLC.No.13/2019, dated. 25.05.2019 to get consent divorce before the Hon'ble Court in CiviA.No.165/2008 as illegal, arbitrary, highhanded, colorable exercise of their powers and violative of Article 21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the 3rd respondent not to interfere in the settled matrimonial disputes in between the petitioner and 5th respondent.”

2. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that nothing survives for adjudication in the Writ Petition and the matter has become infructuous.

3. Recording the said submission, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr.VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA, J

Date: 23.10.2025
ASR

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.29893 of 2021

Dt..23.10.2025

ASR