



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3333]

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2735/2025

Between:

1.YENIKA SRINIVASA REDDY,, S/O. ADEIAH AGED 58 YEARS, OCC.
CONTRACTOR, R/O. 9/355, COLLEGE ROAD,
VISWANADHAPURAM, PODILI, PODILI MANDAL, PRAKASAM
DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1.SRI S SURESH KUMAR IAS, S/O. NOT KNOWN, WORKING AS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL
ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI.

2.SRI PEEYUSH KUMAR IAS, S/O. NOT KNOWN, WORKING AS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT OF
FINANCE AND PLANNING, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI,
AMARAVATHI.

3.SRI KL NARAYANA REDDY, S/O. NOT KNOWN WORKING AS
COMMISSIONER, PODILI NAGARA PANCHAYAT, PODILI,
PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

4.SRI RAMA MURTHY, S/O. NOT KNOWN, WORKING AS DY.
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PODILI NAGARA PANCHAYAT, PODILI,
PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

5.SRI B SANKAR NAYAK, S/O. NOT KNOWN, WORKING AS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER, PODILI NAGARA PANCHAYAT, PODILI,

PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to please to punish the contemnors/respondents under Contempt of Courts Act, for willful disobedience of orders passed by this Hon'ble Court dated 05.02.2025 in W.P. No.21476 of 2024

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to implead the proposed respondent No.6 as party respondent No.6 in main C.C. No.2735 of 2025 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.BATTULA SANJIAH GANDHI

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1.GUDAPATI LAKSHMI NARAYANA

2.SREEKANTH REDDY AMBATI

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This contempt case is filed against the respondents for willful disobedience of the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.21476 of 2024, dated 05.02.2025. The operative portion of the said order is extracted hereunder:

“5. In view of the above instructions, since the bill amount claimed by the petitioner is an admitted bill, this Court feels it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to release amount of Rs.2,79,718/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Seventy Nine Thousand Seven Hundred and Eighteen only) to the petitioner within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

2. Today when the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 has furnished a copy of the letter dated 01.05.2026 addressed by the 2nd respondent, which discloses that *vide* bill No.2026-3535997 an amount of Rs.2,77,223/- was paid to the petitioner on 27.04.2026

3. In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that the respondents have complied with the orders passed by this Court dated 05.02.2025 in writ petition No.21476 of 2024.

4. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, in this writ petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Date: 01.05.2026
KGR